Nurturing the Children of the Sky: Bioethical, Developmental, and Sociopolitical Frameworks for Child-Rearing Beyond Earth

Author: David S. Brody

Filmmaker & Producer, Starsong Media, Inc.

Contact: starsongmedia@me.com / @davidskybrody

Subject: Human Reproduction, Development, and Child Rights in Space Environments

Date of Submission: March 26, 2026

Author Disclosure & Institutional Note

This survey paper is synthesized from research and primary assets created for the documentary film *Children of the Sky: Can We Raise Healthy Kids in Space?* (writer/director: David S. Brody) The findings integrate original interview testimony from experts in epidemiology, astrophysics, aerospace law, and ethics, alongside pertinent peer-reviewed literature.

Declarations of AI Assistance

In accordance with current academic transparency standards for generative AI use:

- **Methodology:** This manuscript utilized an AI-assisted synthesis process. Primary source texts authored by David S. Brody were processed using the Gemini-3 Large Language Model to identify recurring themes, provide non-sycophantic critique and help structure the narrative for scholarly publication. Gemini-3 was further prompted to identify typographic, punctuation, and stylistic errors.
- **Final Review:** The author maintains full accountability for this content. Following the AI-assisted drafting phase, the author rewrote, reviewed, refined, and edited the text to ensure technical accuracy and rhetorical integrity.

Nurturing the Children of the Sky: Bioethical, Developmental, and Sociopolitical Frameworks for Child-Rearing Beyond Earth

Author: David S. Brody

Subject: Human Reproduction, Development and Child Rights in Space Environments

Date: March 26, 2026

Abstract

As Humanity transitions from temporary orbital outposts to permanent settlements beyond Earth, the biological and ethical viability of human reproduction and healthy child development becomes the primary bottleneck for species expansion. This paper synthesizes multidisciplinary research conducted for the documentary film *Children of the Sky: Can We Raise Kids in Space?* (writer/director: David S. Brody), and surveys certain pertinent peer-reviewed findings in space medicine, ethics, and law. Subject matter experts appearing in the film are quoted. We examine critical physiological stressors of low-gravity and high-radiation environments, and chemical toxicities, on fetal and pediatric development, alongside the sociopolitical challenges of citizenship, parental sovereignty, and the "birthright" of Earth. We introduce the **Nurturing View**—a functional extension of the **Overview Effect** (creator: Frank White)—as a theoretical framework that prioritizes the developmental requirements of children as the fundamental driver for space architecture, governance and sustainable development. Additionally, the paper draws a parallel between the guided evolution of Humanity for adaptation to varied space environments and the guided development of Artificial General Intelligence (AGI), arguing that both represent a shift toward intentional design requiring a heightened level of emotional and ethical maturity.

Keywords: Space Colonization, Space Medicine, Bioethics, Human Evolution, Transhumanism, Solar Radiation, Gravity, Ethical treatment, Sustainable space development, Space law, Children's Rights, Moon base, Mars city, Space Migration,

Generation ship, Regolith toxicity, ISRU, Extraterrestrial Life, Overview Effect, AGI Alignment.

I. Introduction

The transition of Humanity from a planetary species to a multi-planetary civilization is currently a subject of increasingly raucous debate. Proponents argue that such resettlement is essential for long-term prosperity, species survival, and preservation human consciousness and culture. Detractors, with equivalent vehemence, often characterize mass migration to space as impractical, elitist, or a misallocation of human effort and terrestrial resources.

Historically, off-world migration has been viewed through the filters of propulsion physics, orbital mechanics, resource extraction and power generation. However, this study suggests that any persistent human presence in space must eventually and inevitably serve the needs of subsequent generation-that a self-sustaining space community cannot accurately be said to exist until the first child is born and raised to reproductive age within that environment. As this author asks in the documentary *Children of the Sky,* "Who should get to decide if space is safe enough for children?" [^1] The goal of this paper is to determine whether any location in the Solar System beyond Earth can truly become, as Sir Elton John sings, "the kind of place to raise your kids?" [^16]

As mission architectures move from temporary outposts to self-reliant settlements, we must confront a fundamental biological and ethical bottleneck: the viability of human reproduction in environments for which we are not naturally evolved. This study proposes that the degree to which the rights of children are respected—as built into the physical, sociological and legal systems of any space community—will become the most consequential measure of success of that community. A **Nurturing View**, defined as a functional extension of Frank White's **Overview Effect** [^2], must inform planning for sustainable development and all habitation in space, whether for scientific, technological, industrial, commercial, or civil purpose. While the Overview Effect provides the cognitive impetus for planetary protection, the Nurturing View provides the operational framework for species survivability by prioritizing the requirements for raising healthy children in all architected systems, whether on or off planet Earth.

This Nurturing View directly aligns with at least nine of the United Nations' enumerated Sustainable Development Goals [^18]:

1) No Poverty
2) Zero Hunger
3) Good Health
4) Quality Education
5) Gender Equality
6) Clean Water
7) Affordable Energy
10) Peace and Justice
11) Sustainable Communities

II. The Biological Imperative: Gravity, Radiation, and Environmental Toxicity

In recent years, public discourse and conversation around H. sapiens becoming a "multi-planet species" or "space-faring species" has increased in frequency and intensity within mainstream, popular and social media. However, despite the spike in popular interest, a dearth of good data on human reproduction, child growth / health, and adaptation to varied space environments.

As Victor M. Cole et al., point out: "much of the available data derive from single input (i.e. microgravity only) simulations, whereas a real lunar or Mars exposure (for example) would involve a fluid combination of microgravity, radiation, stress and potentially regolith exposures." [^5]

The Gravity Gradient

Humanity evolved on the densest planet in the Solar System; every physiological system we know of was sculpted by and calibrated to a 1G environment. This includes aquatic species that spend their lives buoyantly suspended. The move to living long term in lower gravity (the Moon at 0.16G or Mars at 0.38G) represents a significant departure from our evolutionary history.

Adult astronauts in microgravity (0.89G but freely falling in Low Earth Orbit) on the International Space Station provide a cautionary baseline. Even with intensive exercise—more than two hours per day, six days er week—many long-duration mission participants experience performance-limiting deconditioning upon return to Earth gravity. [^1]

Even this level of effort may not be enough, especially for long duration deep space operations. Jessica Scott et al., conclude: “up to 17% of astronauts would experience performance-limiting deconditioning if current exercise countermeasures were used on future spaceflight missions.” [^12]

For a developing child—who may be less likely to adhere to a strict exercise routine—the stakes are significantly higher. Aerospace attorney Laura Montgomery observes, "We haven't seen children born off planet in no gravity. What would be the effects on a kids’ bone density? This is when they are taking all those minerals into their bones before they get to the point in life where they start leaching them out." [^3]

Lower bone density compromised cardiac function, and other risk factors of space may impact fetuses and embryos in the womb. Epidemiologist Carmen Messerlian characterizes human reproduction as "the intricate, complex, beautiful synchronized dance between molecules, cells, systems, tissues, that all work harmoniously and sequentially in the most amazing, dramatic fashion." [^1] This synchronization is prone to aberration in microgravity, which may disrupt epigenetic information registered on gamete cells. [^4]

Space biology researcher Egbert Edelbroek suggests that, while the fluid environment of the womb might mitigate some microgravity effects for the fetus, "different requirements for different stages of reproduction" may necessitate artificial gravity solutions, such as rotating sleep modules calibrated to 1G or perhaps up to 1.5G to compensate for ‘daytime’ (non-sleep period) deficits. [^7] Importantly, it is not yet clear that the centripetal acceleration produced by rotation (a.k.a. spin gravity)—even of the entire spacecraft—will result in outcomes similar to natural (Einsteinian) gravity.

The Radiative Environment

Unlike Earth, , which is shielded by a subterranean dynamo producing a strong magnetic field, worlds such as the Moon and Mars lack such protection. They face a constant barrage of highly charged solar particles and energetic cosmic rays. Carmen Messerlian warns, "We know that radiation is genotoxic, it's mutagenic. It harms every single cell in our bodies. Nobody's immune to it. "[^1] Radiation can damage DNA in sperm and eggs, rendering embryos mutagenic or unviable. Even if a child is gestated and born (apparently) healthy, the preconception radiation exposures of their parents could manifest as future cancers in the offspring [^4]

Lois Martin, et al., advises that “Space radiation might damage ovarian follicles and impair folliculogenesis, potentially leading to premature ovarian failure... Space

radiation can cause dense ionization tracks and clustered DNA damage that are difficult for cellular repair systems to manage” [^13]

A meta-study by Khulood Ahrari et al., summarized 21 peer-reviewed articles to resolve: “that sperm motility was decreased after exposure to microgravity and ionizing radiation. Total sperm count was also found to be reduced by microgravity only. Sperm DNA fragmentation was increased by both ionizing radiation and microgravity. Testosterone levels and testicular weight were also decreased by microgravity.” [^14]

Obtaining clean data on reproductive viability in space is both physically challenging and morally fraught. As astrophysicist and ethicist Erika Nesvold cautions: “we don't even know how to ethically figure out whether humans can reproduce in space because that kind of scientific research would require us to experiment on pregnant people and fetuses, which is generally considered to be very unethical.” [^6]

III. Sociopolitical and Ethical Frameworks

The sociopolitical barriers to space settlement introduce unique tensions between state authority, corporate governance, and parental sovereignty. Which power should prevail; under what circumstances? Whose rights are more “right”?

The Birthright of Earth

A central ethical dilemma involves the permanence of extraterrestrial migration. Erika Nesvold asks: "Would it be wrong to raise children in an environment, and take away that birthright, that ability to go back to their ancestral home?"[^1] If a child’s musculoskeletal system develops in lunar gravity, they may be physically unable to endure the gravity of Earth, leading to a permanent divergence of the species. [^6]

Carmen Messerlian raises the specter of "generations living outside of Earth" requiring "ethical (and) geopolitical pillars" to be erected and respected. "They might need a passport to get into Earth. Can they then come here and cause harm because they have exposures and, potentially, diseases and other things that we've not adapted to here on Earth?" This potential for biological and legal "othering" suggests that "Earthly-ans" and "people of another planet" may face a divergence not seen since the dawn of our species. [^4]

In many ways, the future concerns for children’s rights beyond Earth parallels present-day discussions of the rights of global climate-change refugees. Co-authors Gábor Kecskés and Agnes Lux write: “The impacts of climate change clearly undermine the

effective enjoyment of the rights enshrined in the UN Convention on the Rights of the Child... the rights to life, (to) survival and development, to family relations and the right not to be separated from one's parents against one's will, the highest attainable standard of health, an adequate standard of living, education, freedom from any form of violence or exploitation, the right to recreation and play, and the enjoyment of one's culture." [^10] Each of these recognized rights could apply to sub-adults born or raised off-Earth, whether at home in the sky, traveling to Earth or migrating another orbital location.

Individual Liberty vs. Collective Survival

In the precarious early stages of settlement, the necessity of maintaining a "minimum viable population" may impinge upon individual parental choice. Egbert Edelbroek notes, "It's not going to be your freedom of choice to choose your mate for reproduction because it might be more important to focus on realizing a minimum viable population with enough genetic diversity."[^1] This requirement for diversity creates a direct conflict with modern concepts of family privacy and agency. [^7]

Laura Montgomery frames this as a potential "mark of tyranny," asking whether the state should allowed decide if space is "safe enough," or if such choices should be left to individual parents. She draws a parallel to the "differently abled on Earth," arguing that a life lived entirely in the lower gravity of the Moon, "can still be a good life." [^3] However, as Gemini's critique notes, this analogy is only valid if a supportive society with infrastructure and medical care is already in place – a luxury the first pioneers may lack, especially on Mars and beyond, due to the much longer transit times from Earth

Maurizio Balistreri and Steven Umbrello go further,warning: "in the first period of colonisation of space or other planets, giving birth to children in space could be a morally irresponsible choice since, we argue, the life we could give them might not be good enough.... it is not morally right to be content that our children have a minimally sufficient life." [^11]

IV. The Evolution of the "Other": Speciation and Transhumanism

As Humanity persists in extreme extra-planetary conditions, the combination of natural adaptation and intentional modification may lead to a fractioning of our human species pathways. [^4]

Genetic Editing and Augmentation

To survive the "stark surfaces" of Mars, the asteroids, the moons of the giant outer planets, or the objects of the Kuiper Belt, future generations might utilize edited genomics and mechanical augmentations. Courtney Stadd warns that Humanity has a "horrible record of dealing with the 'other,'" and that space-adapted humans will represent a new, potentially marginalized demographic. [^1] By modifying the human germline to create radiation-resistant or hibernation-capable humans, we move toward a "post-human" state. We may become extremophiles in comparison to our human ancestors adapted for Earth [^8]

Gene-editing and exogenous countermeasures may become available before mass transportation infrastructure to and from deep space is economically warranted and, therefore, built. Indeed, the range of environments for which adaptive technologies can produce healthy children of the sky may drive the demand for engineered haulage and habitation.

Social Disconnection by Distance and Time

The farther we migrate across space, the greater the potential for societal schism. Erika Nesvold highlights that "the limitation of the speed of light means... you can't have a live conversation" with Earth from the Main Belt of asteroids, the moons of Jupiter, and beyond. This physical and communicative distance will likely lead to the evolution of "different cultures, different languages, and possibly even different value systems." [^6]

V. The "Clean Room" Paradox: Microbiome Diversity vs. Sterile Habitats

While discourse often focuses on external threats, significant internal risks arise from over-sanitization. Spacecraft are typically birthed in clean rooms, but this clinical sterility may be fundamentally incompatible with human developmental health. Carmen Messerlian drawing from her research at Harvard University, identifies a category of "reproductive toxicants" commonly deployed in sterilized environments. "These chemicals—we call them endocrine-disrupting chemicals—cleaning products, chlorine-based products... are actually really harmful to human health; really harmful to reproductive health." [^4]

In a space transport craft, habitat, or base—where air and water are part of a closed-loop system—these chemicals do not dissipate as they might on Earth. The continuous

recycling of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) and sterilization agents could create a toxic baseline that inhibits ovulation, shifts sperm motility and abundance, and may disrupt the epigenetic information registered on gamete cells. [^4]

Beyond the presence of toxins, the absence of biological diversity poses a developmental hurdle for children born off-planet. Human immune systems have evolved in tandem with a vast array of bacteria, fungi, and viruses. Carmen Messerlian notes, "the novelty and diversity of bacteria allows us to flourish our immune systems... and this actually produces beneficial effects to reproduction." [^4]

Too-clean a space habitat may result in "Children of the Sky" who possess severely underdeveloped immune systems, potentially making them more susceptible to autoimmune disorders or unable to survive the biological chaos of Earth's atmosphere should they ever visit the progenitor planet. To mitigate this, space architects may need to intentionally "re-wild" habitats, incorporating soil-based and water-based ecosystems, populating them with diverse microbial signatures to mimic our original Earthly womb.

VI. Interstellar Logistics and the Ethics of Forced Migration

The concept of Human migration / permanent settlement off-planet is generally defended on the basis of a perceived need to spread Earth's biosphere—or at least the human species—beyond the blast radius or orbital range of a single catastrophic planetary event or cascade failure. This "longtermist" view seems inclined to overlook many ethical questions as it seeks a "greater good" But greater for whom?

Victor M. Cole et. al. opines: "Many research articles that purport to justify what might broadly be called space pregnancy research on the basis of a perceived need to ensure Humanity's future among the stars neglect to acknowledge much less address the multiple ethical considerations that would have to be entertained." [^5]

As the mission profile extends beyond the Solar System, the ethical challenges shift from the biological to the existential. With all presently known means of propulsion, interstellar journeys, even to the nearest stars, require timescales that transcend a single human life, leading to several radical—and ethically fraught—proposals for reproduction and child-rearing.

The Generation Ship and the "Closed Society"

The classic science fictional generation ship model requires an initial human crew to raise children who will, in turn, raise their own children, with only some subsequent generation reaching the mission's destination. This creates a "forced migration" scenario where children are born into an assignment they did not choose.

To reduce the massive resource requirements of generation ships, hibernation ships utilizing some form of (entirely speculative) suspended animation have been proposed. However, an even more cost-effective—and ethically complex—alternative is the embryo ship. Carrying only frozen gametes or embryos, these vessels would employ ectogenesis (artificial wombs) to bring children to term only after arrival at a suitable destination.

In this scenario, the first generation of interstellar humans would essentially be raised by robots—an automated primary caregiving system. [^1] This removes the Nurturing View of human parents from the developmental equation, replacing it with algorithmic care, unless that view is encoded in the design ethos of those algorithms. The psychological impact of being a "founder generation" with no living ancestral connection to Earth may represent the ultimate disconnection of our species. Moreover, if genetically germline-edited to optimize for survival at the destination's conditions, such children might be the 1st of a new species, never children of Sol, but truly children of its sky.

VII. The Nurturing View as an Evolutionary Framework

Despite these daunting technical and ethical hurdles, there seems to be an instinctual drive to explore—and in some people expand our geographic range—encoded in our genes.

Space policy researcher Steve Wolfe observes that, though many ethical questions will swirl "around individuals that choose to take this step of having the first children, on space habitats... if we are really going to make a break as a civilization from this Earth, there will be people who choose to take that risk, and they're willing to build a family in that new environment." [^9]:

The Nurturing View suggests that by shifting our perspective from the needs of the mission to the needs of the child, we find a more robust framework for settlement. Protecting children necessitates solving for radiation, gravity, and toxicity, as well as sociological, legal and ethical questions—solutions that, by extension, protect the entire community. As I say in the film: "If we focus primarily on the needs of those children, EVERYTHING else we want to do in space will gently orbit into place." [^1]

VIII. Conclusion: The Convergence of Biological and Artificial Evolution

The prospect of raising children in the stark environments of the Solar System serves as a mirror, reflecting our own maturity as a species. To successfully produce children of the sky, we must navigate a transition from natural, undirected evolution to a proactive, engineering-led destiny; an actually intelligent design for the first time in Earth's history.

This transition is not merely biological, but deeply entwined with the technological systems we create to sustain us. On and off-planet, a "Nurturing View" should guide engineering and innovation – essentially "working backward" from the complete needs of kids; metaphorically, the Environmental Control and Life Support Systems (ECLSS) of future generations, the primary driver of project architectures and solutions.

The AGI Parallel: A Shared Parenting Challenge

This study finds a profound parallel between the guided evolution of our biological descendants and the guided development of Artificial General Intelligence (AGI). Both represent a shift from uncontrolled growth to intentional design. Just as we must decide which engineered tools and edited genetic traits are necessary for a child to survive, for example, on Mars, we are deciding which value alignments are necessary for an AGI to coexist with Humanity.

Both paths present the moral challenge of the "Other." As space policy scholar Courtney Stadd notes, Humanity's historically poor record with those who are different; in the coming centuries, we will face two new forms of "otherness": the space-adapted transhuman and the silicon-based mind. The "Nurturing View" applies equally to both. Whether we are parenting a child gestated in a rotating habitat or a digital intelligence born of neural networks, the ethical burden remains the same: we must be emotionally evolved enough to handle the differences we have intentionally brought into existence. [^8]

Ethical Stewardship in the Age of AI Synthesis

My journey in exploring these themes was itself marked by ethical tensions. In writing and directing the film "Children of the Sky," I wrestled with the Faustian bargain of using generative AI to visualize a wide variety of speculative futures for the viewer. This struggle involved reconciling the practical and economic necessity of using AI tools with the social and environmental impacts of AI, the high costs of massive compute,

the exploitation of human laborers as they train AI to word-associate, transform and diffuse, and the violation of the intellectual property rights of human artists. [^15]

To paraphrase the philosopher Friedrich Nietzsche, “societies have the morals they can afford.” I was budgeted to commission or license less than ten human-created visualizations; most images in the film, regrettably therefore, had to be products of AI. I learned that the transition to an AI-assisted workflow must be handled with a commitment to explore and exhaust all the non-AI-assisted pathways possible.

Astrophysicist and ethicist Erika Nesvold published a stern and strong critique of my use of generative AI in producing the film. [^17 I accept nearly all of Dr. Nesvold’s conclusions as correct and useful guidance for future productions.

This internal struggle reflects the broader theme of this paper: whether we are modifying a genome or a pixel, the act of creation requires a relentless focus on the health and well-being of the resulting entity, the positive ethical alignment of the process that creates it, and the sustainability of the environment it will inhabit.

Final Synthesis

Ultimately, the survival and prosperity of the human species may come to depend upon our ability to expand life into lifelessness responsibly. By applying the "Nurturing View"—globally on Earth today and across the stars tomorrow—we

If we can—through clever engineering and enlightened ethical decisions—retire the risks of varying gravity, radiation, toxicity, breathability, loneliness, emotional disconnection, inequality, and insufficiency of their rights, our descendants may triumphantly proliferate in ways and environments we cannot now imagine.

Finally, a wild card—full of ethical and engineering challenges—may be thrown by the marriage of AI with neural implants technology: We may someday be able to upload human consciousness to an intelligent machine, which may (or may not) take humanoid form. If such beings are born, I suggest that each be considered just another child of the sky, with all the rights and responsibilities of any and every other intelligent being inhabiting our expanding corner of the universe.

Declarations and Methodology

Acknowledgements: The author acknowledges the use of Gemini-3 (LLM), developed by Google, in the structural synthesis and drafting of this paper.

Methodology: This paper utilizes an AI-assisted synthesis process. Four primary source texts (Film Script, Presentation Script, Op-Ed, and Gemini Critique) authored by David S. Brody were processed using the Gemini-3 Large Language Model to identify recurring themes, minimize redundancy, and structure the narrative for academic publication. All expert testimony and core arguments remain the original work of the author and cited specialists.

Declaration of Generative AI in Scientific Writing: During the preparation of this work, the author prompted Gemini-3 to iteratively synthesize multiple internal drafts based on the source texts and to provide critique. Following each of the AI's generations, the author reviewed, refined, and edited the content, iterating to a formal draft. The author takes full responsibility for the final content of the publication.

Footnotes

[^1]: **David S. Brody**, director, *Children of the Sky: Can We Raise Healthy Kids in Space?* (Starsong Media, Inc., 2026), film assets.

[^2]: **Frank White**, *The Overview Effect: Space Exploration and Human Evolution*, 4th ed. (Multiverse Publishing, 2021).

[^3]: **Laura Montgomery** (Aerospace Attorney), interview by David S. Brody, *Children of the Sky*, 2026.

[^4]: **Carmen Messerlian** (Harvard T. H. Chan School of Public Health), interview by David S. Brody, *Children of the Sky*, 2026.

[^5]: **Victor M. Cole et al.,** "Biological Risks of Human Reproductive Health in Deep Space Exploration," *Nature: npj Microgravity* 11, no. 1 (2025): Article 15.

[^6]: **Erika Nesvold** (JustSpace Alliance), interview by David S. Brody, *Children of the Sky*, 2026.

[^7]: **Egbert Edelbroek** (SpaceBorn United), interview by David S. Brody, *Children of the Sky*, 2026.

[^8]: **Courtney Stadd** (Former NASA Chief of Staff/Ethics Consultant), interview by David S. Brody, *Children of the Sky*, 2026.

[^9]: **Steve Wolfe** (Beyond Earth Institute), interview by David S. Brody, *Children of the Sky*, 2026.

[^10]: **Gábor Kecskés and Agnes Lux**, "There Is No Plan(et) B—Environmental 'Crossroads' of Children's Rights," *Sustainability* 14, no. 12 (2022).

[^11]: **Maurizio Balistreri and Steven Umbrello**, "Birth in Space: Is It Morally Right to Have Children on Other Planets?," *Futures* 126 (2021).

[^12]: **Jessica Scott et al.,** "Effects of exercise countermeasures on multisystem function in long duration spaceflight astronauts " *npj Microgravity* 9, no. 1 (2023): Article 82.

[^13]: **Martin, Lois, et al.** "Effects of Ionizing Radiation on the Female Reproductive System: Impacts on Fertility and Offspring Health." *International Journal of Molecular Sciences* 23, no. 17 (2022)

[^14]: **Ahrari, Khulood, et al.** "The Effects of Microgravity and Ionizing Radiation on Male Reproductive System." *Frontiers in Physiology* 13 (2022)

[^15]: **Brody, David S.** "*New documentary 'Children of the Sky' asks the bold question: Can we raise kids in space?" (op-ed) Space.com. (March 26, 2025)*

[^16]: **John, Elton, and Bernie Taupin.** "*Rocket Man (I Think It's Going to Be a Long, Long Time)." Universal Music Publishing Group (UMPG) (1972)*

[^17]: **Erika Nesvold** "Space Ethics – Documentaries and AI Art" Making New Worlds - Substack (*2025)*

[^18]: **United Nations** 'Sustainable Development: The 17 Goals" UN Department of Economic and Social Affairs *(2015)*

Bibliography

Ahrari, Khulood, et al. "The Effects of Microgravity and Ionizing Radiation on Male Reproductive System." *Frontiers in Physiology* 13 (2022): 904375. https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2022.904375.

Balistreri, Maurizio, and Steven Umbrello. "Birth in Space: Is It Morally Right to Have Children on Other Planets?" *Futures* 126 (2021): 102683. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.futures.2020.102683.

Brody, David S. *Children of the Sky: Can We Raise Healthy Kids in Space?* (Film). Starsong Media, Inc., 2025. https://www.magellantv.com/video/children-of-the-sky-can-we-raise-kids-in-space & https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Ba1g2s7wDqc&t=1s

Brody, David S. *New documentary 'Children of the Sky' asks the bold question: Can we raise kids in space? (op-ed) Space.com. March 26, 2025.* https://www.space.com/entertainment/new-documentary-children-of-the-sky-asks-the-bold-question-can-we-raise-kids-in-space-op-ed.

Cole, Victor M., et al. "Biological Risks of Human Reproductive Health in Deep Space Exploration." *Nature: npj Microgravity* 11, no. 1 (2025): Article 15. https://www.nature.com/articles/s41526-025-00535-3.

Edelbroek, Egbert. CEO, SpaceBorn United. Interview by David S. Brody. *Children of the Sky: Can We Raise Healthy Kids in Space?* Film assets. 2024. https://youtu.be/Ba1g2s7wDqc?si=bSYC03-8xSyJGbaO

John, Elton, and Bernie Taupin. "Rocket Man (I Think It's Going to Be a Long, Long Time)." Universal Music Publishing Group (UMPG). 1972.

Kecskés, Gábor, and Agnes Lux. "There Is No Plan(et) B—Environmental 'Crossroads' of Children's Rights." *Sustainability* 14, no. 12 (2022): 7421. https://doi.org/10.3390/su14127421.

Martin, Lois, et al. "Effects of Ionizing Radiation on the Female Reproductive System: Impacts on Fertility and Offspring Health." *International Journal of Molecular Sciences* 23, no. 17 (2022): 5975. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms23115975.

Messerlian, Carmen. Harvard T. H. Chan School of Public Health. Interview by David S. Brody. *Children of the Sky: Can We Raise Healthy Kids in Space?* Film assets. 2024 https://youtu.be/Ba1g2s7wDqc?si=bSYC03-8xSyJGbaO

Montgomery, Laura. Aerospace Attorney and Author. Interview by David S. Brody. *Children of the Sky: Can We Raise Healthy Kids in Space?* Film assets. 2024. https://youtu.be/Ba1g2s7wDqc?si=bSYC03-8xSyJGbaO

NASA Office of the Chief Health and Medical Officer. "Exercise Overview: Countermeasures for Space Flight." Technical Brief. December 2023. https://www.nasa.gov/wp-content/uploads/2023/12/ochmo-tb-031-exercise-overview.pdf.

Nesvold, Erika. JustSpace Alliance. Interview by David S. Brody. *Children of the Sky: Can We Raise Healthy Kids in Space?* Film assets. 2024. https://youtu.be/Ba1g2s7wDqc?si=bSYC03-8xSyJGbaO

Nesvold, Erika. JustSpace Alliance. "Space Ethics – Documentaries and AI Art" Making New Worlds - Substack *2025*

Scott, Jessica, et al. "Evaluation of Cardiovascular Health for Long-Duration Spaceflight Missions to Mars." *Nature: npj Microgravity* 9, no. 1 (2023): Article 82. https://www.nature.com/articles/s41526-023-00256-5.

Stadd, Courtney. Space Policy and Ethics Consultant. Interview by David S. Brody. *Children of the Sky: Can We Raise Healthy Kids in Space?* Film assets. 2024. https://youtu.be/Ba1g2s7wDqc?si=bSYC03-8xSyJGbaO

United Nations 'Sustainable Development: The 17 Goals" UN Department of Economic and Social Affairs *(2015)* *https://sdgs.un.org/goals*

White, Frank. *The Overview Effect: Space Exploration and Human Evolution*. 4th ed. Multiverse Publishing, 2021.

Wolfe, Steve. Co-founder, Beyond Earth Institute. Interview by David S. Brody. *Children of the Sky: Can We Raise Healthy Kids in Space?* Film assets. 2024. https://youtu.be/Ba1g2s7wDqc?si=bSYC03-8xSyJGbaO