

# The Orion Arm Strategy for Embryo-Ship Settlement of the Milky Way: Logistic and Ethical Considerations

Niklas Järnstråt

Director, ISRUtech Sweden AB, Moonbase Lapträsk Research Facility, Sweden

niklas@isru.tech | www.isru.tech

## ABSTRACT

Interstellar expansion requires balancing total travel distance against the density of settleable systems along the route. This paper compares a straight-line path toward the galactic centre ( $l = 0^\circ$ ) with an arm-following path along the Orion Arm ( $l \approx 78^\circ\text{--}80^\circ$ ), using stellar distribution data from the BeSSeL Survey and Gaia DR3. Path optimisation applies minimax criteria (minimising the longest single inter-system leg) as a tractable proxy for a full nonlinear per-leg cost calculation; step length drives transit cost nonlinearly through shielding mass, recycling losses, and provisioning growth across many generations. Embryo transport is used as the baseline cargo method, reducing ship mass by three to four orders of magnitude relative to a genetically equivalent live founding population. The straight  $l = 0^\circ$  path departs the Local Arm within approximately 500 light-years, entering inter-arm space where the arm–interarm stellar density contrast is modest ( $\sim 10\%$  [4]), raising mean stellar spacing from approximately 7 to 8 light-years [16], with no confirmed cluster hub within 10,000 light-years. The arm-following path remains within the Orion Arm's confirmed stellar overdensity to approximately 10,000 light-years, with confirmed star-forming cluster hubs — NGC 7000 (approximately 1,700 light-years, estimated  $\sim 10,000$  settleable systems) and Cygnus OB2 (approximately 4,600 light-years, estimated  $\sim 100,000$  settleable systems) — and further clusters at irregular inter-hub steps of 1,200–2,900 light-years. Within these clusters, mean stellar separation drops to a fraction of a light-year, enabling rapid expansion to a large number of settled stars for creating an industrial and demographic base allowing more rapid expansion beyond the cluster. In terms of settled distance from Earth, the velocity gain along the arm route is marginal, but humanity's settled presence will grow substantially more concentrated on this side of the galactic centre. Cryogenic embryo storage will allow reduction in ship size and support mass overhead, thus enabling longer steps and shorter time to rebuild sufficient industrial capacity on each settlement. Ethical implications can be kept broadly positive by voluntary contribution programmes that allow any individual's genetic legacy to reach distant stars irrespective of personal resources.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The question of how humanity might expand beyond the solar system has received increasing attention as stellar cartography has improved and reproductive cryobiology has advanced. Early population-dynamics analyses by Newman and Sagan [1] demonstrated that even modest emigration rates produce galaxy-wide diffusion within cosmological timescales. More recent probabilistic models by Carroll-Nellenback et al. [2] found that conservative spacecraft parameters can fill the Milky Way within hundreds of thousands of years. These analyses treat expansion as essentially isotropic, without regard to the strongly anisotropic stellar density structure of the galaxy.

The practical question facing any early interstellar programme is not primarily one of route in the navigational sense, but of step distance minimisation. The maximum gap between successive settleable systems is the binding constraint: it determines the minimum propulsion capability required and the duration of each transit, which in turn determines the social and demographic demands on ship crews. Early in an expansion programme, every reduction in maximum step distance is decisive. The Milky Way's spiral arm structure is directly relevant here because arm regions are denser in red dwarfs — the most numerous short-range stepping stones — than inter-arm voids.

Crucially, minimising step distance is the primary objective in the early expansion phase, and arm-following serves it well. The Orion Arm, followed at galactic longitude  $l \approx 78^\circ\text{--}80^\circ$ , runs tangentially rather than coreward, but maintains a continuous sequence of confirmed, mappable waypoints for approximately 10,000 light-years.

This paper compares two expansion trajectories from the solar system: a direct path toward the galactic centre ( $l = 0^\circ$ ) and an arm-following path along the Orion Arm ( $l = 80^\circ$ ) using stellar distribution data from the BeSSeL Survey and Gaia DR3. We analyse the implications of each for step distance, transit duration, and crew demographics. We further examine the use of frozen genetic material (sperm, oocytes, or embryos) as a mass-reduction strategy and address the ethical dimensions of large-scale genetic banking for interstellar purposes.

### 1.1 Assumptions

The analysis rests on three explicit assumptions:

First, current clinical technology for cryopreservation of sperm, oocytes, and embryos will advance sufficiently for multi-century storage; Section 2.2 discusses the current state and the gaps that require resolution.

Second, we assume that propulsion will advance sufficiently beyond current capability that a significant fraction of lightspeed is achievable, either by the

propulsion technology being relatively low cost or in connection with an interplanetary coordinated effort spanning many decades at a large fraction of the industrial output of a planetary population counting billions. The constraint is fundamental: the Tsiolkovsky rocket equation drives mass ratio to infinity as exhaust velocity approaches a fixed fraction of mission velocity, and special relativity compounds this since the effective propellant momentum requirement increases asymptotically as ship velocity approaches  $c$ . Realistic ceiling velocities of a few percent of light-speed imply transit times of centuries for even the nearest stars, which is the demographic and engineering challenge this paper addresses. Neither propulsion advance nor the global coordination scenario is assumed to be imminent. We explicitly assume that no faster-than-light travel will become available; if it did, the logistics discussion presented here would become moot.

Third, a working definition of what counts as a settleable system. The term “habitable”, which is usually taken to imply Earth-like surface conditions and liquid water, is deliberately avoided. Here, we consider a system settleable if it provides: (a) volatiles including hydrogen, carbon, nitrogen and oxygen, (in any phase - water, carbon dioxide and ammonia ice is adequate); (b) raw materials for construction and manufacturing, including silicates, metals, and other common rocky-body constituents; (c) trace elements required for biology and manufacturing, including phosphorus, sulphur, and key metallic co-factors, all present at chondritic concentrations in ordinary rocky bodies; and (d) accessible power, either solar radiation or nuclear fuel including hydrogen. Under this definition, essentially any rocky or icy body orbiting a main-sequence star or brown dwarf qualifies as potentially settleable, substantially expanding the effective target density beyond the conventional habitable zone.

## 2. BACKGROUND AND PRIOR WORK

### 2.1 Galactic Structure and Stellar Density

The Milky Way is a barred spiral galaxy containing four major arm structures: Norma, Scutum-Centaurus, Sagittarius, and Perseus. The Sun resides in a minor spur, the Orion Arm (also called the Local Arm), at a galactic radius of approximately 8.15 kpc [3]. The BeSSeL Survey [4] has mapped maser parallaxes of high-mass star-forming regions throughout the galaxy, providing the most precise three-dimensional stellar distribution data currently available. Gaia DR3 [5] supplements this with photometric and astrometric data for over 1.5 billion sources, enabling identification of red dwarf populations critical for short-step expansion.

Within the Orion Arm, stellar overdensity extends from the solar neighbourhood outward to approximately 10,000 light-years in the direction  $l \approx 78^\circ\text{--}80^\circ$  galactic longitude (as established by maser parallax measurements [4]; pitch angle  $\sim 10^\circ\text{--}13^\circ$ ). Beyond this, the arm merges with the Sagittarius Arm or enters confirmed inter-arm space depending on the observational model applied [4]. Red dwarfs (constituting roughly 70–75% of all stars) dominate

the short-distance connections between major star-forming nodes, with a local stellar number density of  $\sim 0.10\text{ pc}^{-3}$  (dominated by red dwarfs at  $\sim 0.092\text{ pc}^{-3}$ ), yielding a mean stellar spacing of  $\sim 7$  light-years [16] (main-sequence stars only; including brown dwarfs and other settleable bodies, as defined in §1.1, reduces effective spacing further). The arm–interarm density contrast is modest (approximately 10% [4]), so inter-arm regions see an increased mean stellar spacing to  $\sim 8$  light-years rather than dramatically larger voids; this small fractional change follows from the inverse cube root of density. Barnard’s Star, at 6 light-years and confirmed to host four sub-Earth rocky planets [15], exemplifies this red dwarf stepping-stone density within the local arm: none of its planets lie in the habitable zone, but the system represents a confirmed intermediate target in the first step out of the solar neighbourhood.

### 2.2 Genetic Cargo Technology

All three forms of frozen genetic material — cryopreserved sperm, oocytes, and embryos — offer a mass advantage of three to four orders of magnitude over an equivalent live-settler founding population. At an estimated 8 tonnes of ship mass per live settler, a genetically viable founding population of 40,000 individuals [9] requires  $3.2 \times 10^5$  tonnes without counting propulsion and fuel. An equivalent genetic cargo in frozen form is estimated at tens to hundreds of tonnes. Multi-century cryogenic storage has not been demonstrated for any biological form and constitutes the second explicit assumption of Section 1.1. The choice among sperm, oocytes, and embryos involves trade-offs in radiation resistance, storage complexity, and clinical maturity that are outside the scope of this paper; all three reduce ship mass by the same order-of-magnitude factor [6,7,8].

### 2.3 Expansion Logistics and the Maximum Step Constraint

Regardless of propulsion velocity, the maximum gap between successive settleable systems is the binding constraint on interstellar expansion speed. A colony cannot seed the next system until it has developed sufficient industrial and demographic base to build, fuel and crew an outbound generation ship from local resource, a process likely requiring generations. This means maximum step distance also constrains the size of ship that propulsion technology must eventually achieve: a shorter maximum gap requires less capable propulsion, therefore achievable with a smaller industrial base.

The minimax criterion (choosing expansion paths that minimise the longest single step among all available paths) is therefore the natural optimisation objective for early interstellar expansion. It is not primarily a navigational question but a logistics one: which direction from any given system offers the next closest settleable target? Galactic arm structure answers this question at a large scale, and we have also simulated it to the first steps out of the solar neighbourhood.

### 3. METHODOLOGY

#### 3.1 Data Sources

Stellar density profiles were derived from two primary sources. The BeSSeL Survey catalogue [4] provides parallax distances and positions for 202 high-mass star-forming regions, defining the large-scale arm structure. Gaia DR3 [5] provides the red dwarf distribution at distances up to approximately 3,000 light-years with high completeness.

#### 3.2 Path Comparison

Two expansion routes were defined originating from the solar system:

**Route A (Direct):** Straight-line path toward galactic longitude  $l = 0^\circ$ , targeting the galactic centre. This path departs the Local Arm after approximately 500 light-years and enters confirmed inter-arm space.

**Route B (Arm-Following):** Path following the Orion Arm at galactic longitude  $l \approx 78^\circ\text{--}80^\circ$ , maintaining arm membership to the extent supported by BeSSeL data. This route connects major star-forming nodes while utilising red dwarf stepping stones between them.

For each route, the maximum inter-system gap was identified using a minimax optimisation: among all possible paths between confirmed or statistically probable systems within the route corridor, the path minimising the longest single leg was selected.

#### 3.3 Step Length and Transit Cost

Step length is the binding cost variable: shielding mass, recycling losses, and provisioning across many generations all rise nonlinearly with leg length. Mission cost therefore depends on the longest single inter-system leg far more strongly than on mean spacing. This motivates the minimax path optimisation in Section 3.2, minimax serving here as a tractable proxy for a full nonlinear per-leg cost calculation, which is beyond the scope of this paper. The full nonlinear cost dependencies are developed in Section 4.4. Crew size and cultural coherence requirements across the multi-generational transits implied by either route draw on Mars analogue mission literature [10] and generation ship demographic modelling [9].

#### 3.4 Stellar Path Visualisation

A dedicated visualisation tool was developed to explore candidate expansion paths within the solar neighbourhood in galactic Cartesian coordinates. The tool draws on the HYG Database v3.8 (a compilation of the Hipparcos, Yale Bright Star, and Gliese catalogues covering approximately 120,000 stars), applying the IAU 1958 equatorial-to-galactic rotation matrix to convert equatorial Cartesian positions into galactic X–Y–Z coordinates in light-years.

Stars within a configurable sphere of interest are colour-coded by perpendicular distance from the Orion Arm centreline (galactic longitude  $l \approx 80^\circ$ ), using a green-to-red scale to indicate arm proximity. A greedy path algorithm selects successive nearest unvisited stars within a forward

cone of configurable half-angle (default  $\pm 70^\circ$ ) around the arm direction, producing a locally optimised stepping-stone route from Sol, or alternatively a minmax algorithm can be used. Waypoint size encodes step distance, making long gaps immediately visible. The output is an interactive 3D HTML figure (Plotly) that permits rotation, zoom, and hover-inspection of individual stellar properties.

This tool was used to verify that the arm-following route maintains short step distances within the solar neighbourhood. It also showed that there is a noticeable gradient in star density, denser towards the centre of the Orion arm and sparser towards the galactic centre. This may be a local variation, but it is clear.

### 4. RESULTS

#### 4.1 Route A: Direct Path

The direct  $l = 0^\circ$  path remains within confirmed Local Arm stellar overdensity for approximately 500 light-years. Beyond this, BeSSeL data confirms entry into an inter-arm region characterised by reduced star-forming region density. Within this void, the arm–interarm stellar density contrast is approximately 10% [4], raising the mean stellar spacing from  $\sim 7$  to  $\sim 8$  light-years [16]. This is a relatively modest increase, since spacing scales as the inverse cube root of density. Gaia DR3 completeness is insufficient beyond  $\sim 3,000$  light-years to confirm or rule out localised voids; the statistical estimate is therefore a lower bound on worst-case gap. Critically, no confirmed waypoints exist along this corridor: navigation relies entirely on statistical density projections rather than catalogued systems.

If localised voids exist beyond Gaia DR3's completeness horizon (which cannot be excluded) the worst-case step lengths could be substantially longer than the statistical mean. Cultural coherence demands across the many generations required for such long-step transits are a known critical constraint [11].

#### 4.2 Route B: Arm-Following Path

The arm-following route at  $l \approx 78^\circ\text{--}80^\circ$  maintains membership within the Orion Arm's confirmed stellar overdensity for approximately 10,000 light-years. Within this region, BeSSeL data identifies confirmed star-forming cluster hubs — NGC 7000 / North America Nebula ( $\sim 1,700$  ly [19]) and Cygnus OB2 ( $\sim 4,600$  ly [21],  $\sim 100,000$  estimated cluster members [20]) — at inter-hub steps of 1,200–2,900 light-years, with further clusters at irregular spacing beyond. Mean stellar spacing along the arm is  $\sim 7$  light-years [16], comparable to the galactic average. The distinguishing advantages of the arm route are two-fold: (1) a  $\sim 3\%$  reduction in mean spacing versus the inter-arm regions, derived from the cube root of the  $\sim 10\%$  density contrast [4]; and (2) a higher fraction of thermally detectable brown dwarfs: the arm's younger stellar populations retain warmer ( $\geq 800$  K [17,18]) populations than older inter-arm fields, providing intermediate stepping stones between catalogued cluster hubs.

The arm route's shorter maximum step reduces the permission burden of shielding, recycling losses, and provisioning growth — a qualitative advantage that does not depend on the choice of cruise speed or mission optimisation, both of which lie outside the scope of this paper. Cultural coherence mechanisms across the multi-generational transits implied by either route are a known critical constraint [11]. The nonlinear cost dependencies outlined in Section 4.4 mean that the arm route's advantage compounds across many sequential legs, even where the per-leg spacing difference is only ~3%.

### 4.3 Star-Forming Cluster Hubs

Table 2 lists the confirmed star-forming cluster hubs along both arm directions from Sol, with inter-hub steps of 1,200–2,900 light-years. Route A (toward  $l = 0^\circ$ ) has no confirmed cluster hub within 10,000 light-years. These clusters are the primary colonisation targets along the route, not merely waypoints. The ONC — the only nearby cluster with near-complete stellar census [22] — contains an estimated 4,000–10,000 stars within a diameter of approximately 16 light-years; at this density, mean stellar

spacing is 0.4–0.9 light-years, more than an order of magnitude shorter than the background mean stellar spacing of ~7 light-years [16]. Because interstellar step cost is strongly nonlinear in distance (Section 4.4), this reduction in step length within a cluster translates to far more than a proportional reduction in cost.

The fraction of stars and brown dwarfs hosting settleable bodies (as defined in §1.1) is not expected to differ within clusters relative to the background field — the cluster advantage is distance, not the per-system probability of settleability. Once a settlement is established within a cluster, expansion proceeds internally at low step cost, building the industrial and demographic base required for the next inter-cluster step and populating a large number of settleable systems essentially for free relative to inter-hub transit. Lightweight probes can be dispatched from each settlement to identify intermediate targets within 5–10 light-years before the next inter-cluster ship is committed, potentially halving the required step distance and reducing propellant and supply requirements by an order of magnitude.

Cluster	Dist. from Sun (ly)	Step from prior (ly)	Stars (est.)	Diam. (ly)	Mean sep. (ly)
<b>Group A: <math>l \approx 78^\circ\text{--}80^\circ</math> (Route B, toward Cygnus)</b>					
NGC 7000 / N. America Nebula [19]	~1,700	~1,700	~500 OB / ~10,000 settl.	50–100	few
Cygnus OB2 [20,21]	~4,600	~2,800	~2,600 OB / ~100,000 settl.	~40	few
Arm continuation <sup>†</sup>	~6,000–9,000	~1,400–4,400	uncertain	uncertain	uncertain
<b>Group B: <math>l \approx 209^\circ\text{--}265^\circ</math> (toward Orion / Vela)</b>					
Orion Nebula Complex (ONC) [22,23]	~1,350	1,350	4,000–10,000	~16	~0.4–0.9
Vela OB2 / $\gamma$ Vel [24]	~1,200–1,500	~1,200–1,500	~400+	~20	~1–2
Vela Molecular Ridge	~2,300–3,300	~800–2,000	~1,700+	>100 (subgroups)	<2 (subgroups)

Table 2. Star-forming cluster hubs along both arm directions from Sol (all distances in light-years). Group A clusters lie along Route B ( $l \approx 78^\circ\text{--}80^\circ$ , toward Cygnus); Group B clusters lie in the opposite arm direction ( $l \approx 209^\circ\text{--}265^\circ$ , toward Orion/Vela). “Settl.” denotes estimated total settleable systems (as defined in §1.1), inferred from total cluster membership; this exceeds OB-star counts by 1–2 orders of magnitude. Within-cluster minimax steps cannot be simulated for distant clusters due to catalogue incompleteness; ONC figures reflect near-complete data [22]. <sup>†</sup>Arm continuation beyond Cygnus OB2 extrapolated from BeSSeL maser distribution [4]; no major cluster confirmed beyond Cygnus OB2.

### 4.4 Cost Nonlinearity of Interstellar Steps

The cost of an interstellar step is not linear in distance. Multiple physical constraints impose costs that increase super-linearly or even exponentially with step length, so that many short steps are substantially cheaper than a few long ones.

Propellant mass (Tsiolkovsky rocket equation). A ship that accelerates to cruise velocity  $v$  and decelerates at the destination requires a propellant-to-payload mass ratio of  $e^{2v/v_e} - 1$ , where  $v_e$  is the effective exhaust velocity. This is exponential in velocity. Two half-steps at half the velocity require a combined mass ratio of  $2(e^{v/v_e} - 1)$  versus  $e^{2v/v_e} - 1$  for one full step. Whenever cruise

velocity exceeds  $v_e \times \ln 2$ , shorter steps are propellant-cheaper. For fusion drives with  $v_e \approx 0.02\text{--}0.10c$ , this threshold is crossed at practical cruise velocities.

Radiation shielding. At cruise velocity  $v$ , the ship encounters interstellar medium protons at kinetic energy  $E_k \propto v^2$ . The penetration depth (required shielding thickness) scales approximately as  $E_k^{1.7} \propto v^{3.4}$  (Bethe stopping power). Combined with a particle flux proportional to  $v$ , total shielding mass scales roughly as  $v^4$ . Halving cruise speed reduces shielding mass by approximately a factor of 16. This strongly reinforces the case for shorter steps at lower velocity.

Recycling inefficiency. Closed-loop life support cannot achieve 100% efficiency. A recycling efficiency of 99%

per year would be a significant step above current closed-system performance but is considered reasonably achievable at generation-ship scale after in-system shakedown. With this exponential decay rate, approximately 63% of initial reserves are consumed over 100 years, 87% over 200 years, and 95% over 300 years. Shorter inter-system steps thus will reduce mission mass by orders of magnitude, independently of propulsion or shielding.

Societal constraints. In-transit population cannot expand without limit given fixed resources, yet zero population growth is a known stressor for long-duration enclosed communities [11]. Longer transits without additional provisioning for at least a modest population growth would thus be risky. Thus, we should budget an extra cost for this, as yet unquantified but clearly exponential in transit duration. The industrial capacity required to construct the next interstellar ship also grows with population size; a larger population at each node will thus reduce the generational buildup time before the next step is feasible.

#### 4.5 Comparative Summary

Taken together, these effects mean that the relevant comparison is not the ~3% difference in mean stellar spacing between arm and interarm regions (~7 vs. ~8 light-years [16], following from the cube-root scaling of the ~10% density contrast [4]). The comparison is between a route with confirmed cluster hubs at inter-hub steps of 1,200–2,900 light-years — each enabling internal expansion at 0.4–1 light-year step distances — and a route without such hubs. The cost difference arises primarily from the step-length distribution within clusters, not from the background field density.

Table 1 summarises the key metrics for the two routes over the first 10,000 light-years of expansion.

Metric	Route A (Direct)	Route B (Arm)
Max gap (ly)	~8 (stat.)	~7 (mapped)
Arm membership (ly)	~500	~10,000
Added path length	—	<10%
Red dwarf gap (ly)	~8 (stat.)	~7

Table 1. Route comparison: Direct vs. Arm-Following.

### 5. EMBRYO SHIP DESIGN IMPLICATIONS

The mass advantage of embryo transport over an equivalent live-settler founding population is three to four orders of magnitude, depending on other assumptions. At an estimated 8 tonnes of ship mass per live settler, a genetically viable founding population of 40,000 individuals [9] requires roughly  $3.2 \times 10^5$  tonnes not counting supplies, fuel or propulsion; an equivalent embryo payload is estimated at tens to hundreds of tonnes. This reduction determines what propulsion systems are required: a ship of tens of thousands rather than hundreds of millions of tonnes is buildable within foreseeable engineering horizons. Two design constraints persist: radiation shielding for cryogenic embryos is more demanding than for adult crew, and a live crew of 150–500

individuals is still required to operate the ship and raise embryo-born children at the destination [12].

The embryo bank strategy separates genetic diversity from crew population size. A live crew of 200 individuals supplemented by 40,000 frozen embryos representing humanity's full genetic breadth achieves diversity objectives that are impossible with live-crew ships of equivalent mass [9]. Systematic voluntary embryo contribution means that any individual's genetic legacy reaches the destination system, irrespective of personal resources or physical fitness for spaceflight.

### 6. ETHICAL CONSIDERATIONS

The consent objection that embryos cannot consent to interstellar transport dissolves on examination. Consent is categorically inapplicable to decisions about bringing lives into existence; no person consents to being born. The relevant moral question is obligation: do those making the decision incur duties toward the lives they bring into existence? Clearly yes. Those duties concern the quality of life created, not whether it was created. The applicable ethical standard is whether embryo-ship children can reasonably be expected to have lives worth living. This standard is clearly met by a ship with genuine parenting capacity, cultural continuity, and a destination environment assessed as habitable prior to departure. And taken further into future generations, it could be argued that the part of humanity “left behind” will have less favourable long-term prospects.

Voluntary embryo contribution programmes offer a form of democratic participation in galactic expansion. Any person may donate embryos for interstellar transport; the genetic future of humanity across the galaxy then reflects full human diversity rather than only those wealthy or physically capable enough to travel personally. Embryo banks should be curated for maximal genetic diversity rather than selected traits, with governance structures preventing eugenic selection and international oversight appropriate to the civilisational significance of the endeavour.

### 7. CONCLUSIONS

We have compared two candidate routes for interstellar expansion from the solar system: a direct path toward the galactic centre ( $l = 0^\circ$ ) and an arm-following path along the Orion Arm ( $l \approx 78^\circ - 80^\circ$ ). The arm-following route is preferred on logistical grounds, offering confirmed cluster hubs at known positions, NGC 7000 (~1,700 ly [19]) and Cygnus OB2 (~4,600 ly [20,21]); Route A has no confirmed cluster hub within 10,000 light-years. The arm-interarm density contrast is modest (~10% [4]), raising mean stellar spacing from ~7 to ~8 light-years [16]. This is approximately a 3% difference, following from the cube root of the density ratio. The arm's younger stellar population also provides a higher fraction of thermally detectable brown dwarfs ( $\geq 800$  K [17,18]) as intermediate stepping stones. Transit cost nonlinearity, developed in Section 4.4, means the arm advantage compounds across

many sequential legs even where per-leg spacing differs by only ~3%.

Settling the Milky Way will not proceed faster along the arm route, but humanity's settled presence will grow substantially more concentrated on this side of the galactic centre: dense solar system populations within each cluster hub enable rapid expansion within the clusters, populating a large number of settleable systems much cheaper than in inter-arm as well as non-cluster arm space, thus providing a strong industrial and demographic base for further advance. Embryo transport as enabling technology will be instrumental in traversing longer interstellar distances: a ship carrying a skeleton crew and 40,000 founding genomes as frozen embryos reduces ship mass by three to four orders of magnitude compared to a genetically equivalent live founding population. The ethical implications of embryo-supported settlement can be kept broadly positive by voluntary contribution programmes that allow any individual's genetic legacy to reach distant stars irrespective of personal resources.

These findings suggest that practical galactic colonisation should be planned along spiral arm trajectories, with embryo banking as a core civilisational infrastructure. The establishment of comprehensive, internationally governed human embryo banks maintained for the explicit purpose of interstellar settlement would be a technically achievable and ethically defensible near-term policy.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author thanks the Moonbase Lappträsk Research Facility community for discussions contributing to this work.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Newman, W.I. & Sagan, C. (1981). Galactic civilizations: Population dynamics and interstellar diffusion. *Icarus*, 46(3), 293–327.
- [2] Carroll-Nellenback, J., Frank, A., Wright, J., & Scharf, C. (2019). The Fermi Paradox and the Aurora Effect: Exo-civilization Settlement, Expansion, and Steady States. *Astronomical Journal*, 158(3), 117.
- [3] GRAVITY Collaboration (2019). A geometric distance measurement to the Galactic Center black hole with 0.3% uncertainty. *Astronomy & Astrophysics*, 625, L10.
- [4] Reid, M.J. et al. (2019). Trigonometric Parallaxes of High-Mass Star-Forming Regions: Our View of the Milky Way. *Astrophysical Journal*, 885(2), 131. [BeSSeL Survey]
- [5] Gaia Collaboration (2023). Gaia Data Release 3: Summary of the content and survey properties. *Astronomy & Astrophysics*, 674, A1.
- [6] Wakayama, S. et al. (2017). Healthy offspring from freeze-dried mouse spermatozoa held on the International Space Station for 9 months. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 114(18), 5988–5993.
- [7] Kuwayama, M. et al. (2005). Highly efficient vitrification method for cryopreservation of human oocytes. *Reproductive BioMedicine Online*, 11(3), 300–308.
- [8] Chen, Z.J. et al. (2022). Effects of vitrified cryopreservation duration on IVF and neonatal outcomes. *Frontiers in Endocrinology*, 13, 940347.
- [9] Smith, C.M. (2014). Estimation of a genetically viable population for multigenerational interstellar voyaging: Review and data for project Hyperion. *Acta Astronautica*, 97, 16–29.
- [10] Stuster, J. (2011). *Bold Endeavors: Lessons from Polar and Space Exploration*. Naval Institute Press.
- [11] Benford, G. (1999). *Deep Time: How Humanity Communicates Across Millennia*. Avon Books.
- [12] Marin, F. & Beluffi, C. (2018). Computing the minimum crew for a multi-generational trip to Proxima Centauri b. *Journal of the British Interplanetary Society*, 71(2), 45–52.
- [13] Turner, F.J. (1893). The Significance of the Frontier in American History. *American Historical Association Annual Report*.
- [14] Astronexus (2024). HYG Database v3.8: Hipparcos + Yale Bright Star + Gliese stellar catalogue. Available at: <https://github.com/astronexus/HYG-Database>.
- [15] Basant, R. et al. (2025). Four Sub-Earth Planets Orbiting Barnard's Star from MAROON-X and ESPRESSO. *Astrophysical Journal Letters*, 982, L1. doi:10.3847/2041-8213/adb8d5.
- [16] Mamajek, E.E. (2021). Number Densities of Stars of Different Types in the Solar Vicinity. University of Rochester. Available: [pas.rochester.edu/~emamajek/](https://pas.rochester.edu/~emamajek/)
- [17] Kirkpatrick, J.D. et al. (2021). The Field Substellar Mass Function Based on the Full-sky 20 pc Census of 525 L, T, and Y Dwarfs. *Astrophysical Journal Supplement Series*, 253(1), 7. doi:10.3847/1538-4365/abd107.
- [18] Baraffe, I., Chabrier, G., Barman, T.S., Allard, F. & Hauschildt, P.H. (2003). Evolutionary models for cool brown dwarfs and extrasolar giant planets. *Astronomy & Astrophysics*, 402, 701–712.
- [19] Kuhn, M.A. et al. (2020). Kinematics of Candidate Stellar Members of the North America and Pelican Nebulae. *Astronomical Journal*, 160(1), 44. [d ≈ 520 pc ≈ 1,700 ly]
- [20] Knödlseeder, J. (2000). Cygnus OB2 — a young globular cluster in the Milky Way. *Astronomy & Astrophysics*, 360, 539–548.
- [21] Rygl, K.L.J. et al. (2012). Parallaxes and proper motions of interstellar masers toward the Cygnus X star-forming complex. *Astronomy & Astrophysics*, 539, A79.
- [22] Hillenbrand, L.A. (1997). On the stellar population and star-forming history of the Orion Nebula Cluster. *Astronomical Journal*, 113, 1733–1768.
- [23] Menten, K.M. et al. (2007). The distance to the Orion Nebula. *Astronomy & Astrophysics*, 474, 515–520.
- [24] de Zeeuw, P.T. et al. (1999). A Hipparcos Census of the Nearby OB Associations. *Astronomical Journal*, 117(1), 354–399.