

Civilization-Building Intelligence

What Humans Must Become to Build Civilizations Beyond Earth

[author] -----

Veronica Chiaravalli, Founder, CEO, and CRO at Emerging Technologies Sweden,
vc.multiplanetaryx@gmail.com

[symposium, session] -----

Session 1.1: Status of Civilization and Quality of Life

[paper information] -----

☐ this paper was not published before

☐ I agree that Space Renaissance International will publish this paper on their websites and publications

ABSTRACT

Humanity's transition from visiting space to establishing permanent communities beyond Earth represents more than an engineering challenge. It raises a more fundamental question: **what does it take to create a civilization in an environment where human civilization has never existed before?**

Future off-world communities will depend upon advanced habitats, energy systems, communications, artificial intelligence, robotics, autonomous systems, biotechnology, manufacturing and resource utilization. Yet technological capability alone does not constitute civilization. Permanent human communities must also educate, communicate, care, govern, create culture, preserve knowledge, develop economies, maintain relationships, respond to crises, adapt to changing environments and enable successive generations to build meaningful lives.

This paper develops **Civilization-Building Intelligence (CBI)** as an emerging conceptual framework for examining the distributed human, social, institutional and technological capacity required to build, sustain, learn, adapt and evolve under such conditions. CBI is considered not simply as an individual competency but as a property that may emerge across people, communities, institutions, artificial intelligence, robotic systems and distributed knowledge networks.

The paper further develops **Pioneering Innovation** as a complementary capacity for discovering and addressing needs for which adequate solutions or precedents do not yet exist. Different worlds will generate different environmental constraints, human needs, technologies, professions, cultures and forms of social organization. Civilization readiness therefore cannot depend exclusively upon predicting future requirements. It must include the capacity to discover what must be learned.

Education becomes central to this transition. Rather than preparing people exclusively for known occupations, civilization-ready education may need to combine deep expertise, cross-training, adaptive expertise, systems thinking, human-machine collaboration, cultural and emotional intelligence, and continuous learning. Communication and knowledge preservation become similarly fundamental as settlements gain autonomy from Earth and as expertise must remain available despite distance, disruption or the loss of individual specialists.

The central proposition is that the success of human expansion beyond Earth should not ultimately be measured by survival alone. The greater challenge is to create civilizations capable of supporting **Quality of Life, human dignity, learning, culture, adaptation and long-term thriving across generations and worlds**

PAPER

1 From Reaching Another World to Making It Home

Human space exploration has historically been organized around missions: humans leave Earth, perform defined activities in extreme environments, and return. Permanent settlement changes the nature of the problem.

NASA's emerging Moon Base architecture reflects a shift toward sustained lunar presence, including habitation, power, communications, mobility, logistics and other capabilities required for increasingly persistent operations.[4][5] This makes the distinction between mission readiness and civilization readiness increasingly relevant. Infrastructure can enable sustained presence, but it does not by itself answer how permanent communities will learn, work, care, communicate, create culture and develop Quality of Life across generations.

The question is no longer only:

How do we send humans safely into space?

It becomes:

What makes an off-world human presence a civilization rather than a mission, outpost, base, or settlement?

And behind that question lies another:

Reaching another world is a technological achievement. But what does it take to make another world a home?

A civilization beyond Earth will ultimately be defined not only by its habitats, resources and technologies, but by the lives lived within them: relationships, education, health, meaningful activity, knowledge, institutions, culture, creativity, belonging and memory.

The first generation may arrive as explorers. Later generations may live there as settlers. Eventually, people may be born for whom that world is neither a destination nor a frontier.

It is simply home.

What one generation designs as mission architecture may therefore be inherited by another as civilization, creating an intergenerational responsibility long before the first multigenerational settlement exists.

2 What Is a Civilization?

Before asking how to build civilization beyond Earth, it is necessary to clarify what civilization entails.

Definitions of civilization vary across disciplines, but civilization cannot be reduced to technological capability alone. For the purposes of this paper, civilization is understood as an interconnected human system through which communities sustain material life, organize society, create and transmit knowledge, develop culture, coordinate economic activity, establish institutions, communicate, care, resolve conflict, innovate and maintain continuity across generations.[9]

Off-world civilization would therefore involve interacting human and community systems; life-support and material systems; health and well-being; education and knowledge; communications; technology and infrastructure; economy and work; governance and institutions; culture and identity; relationships and family life; adaptation and innovation; and intergenerational continuity.

Their forms cannot be completely specified in advance. A lunar civilization will confront conditions different from those on Mars, while Mars will differ again from Titan or other future destinations.

Different Worlds → Different Constraints → Different Human Needs → Different Knowledge → Different Technologies → Different Professions → Different Social Adaptations → Potentially Different Cultures

There may therefore be no universal technical blueprint for off-world civilization.

What may be transferable is the capacity to learn how to build one.

3 From Survival to Quality of Life and Thriving

Survival necessarily dominates the earliest stages of settlement. Life support, water, food, energy, habitat safety, medical capability and critical infrastructure must remain operational. But survival cannot constitute the final measure of civilizational success.

As communities develop, Quality of Life increasingly involves education, health, relationships, privacy, recreation, culture, accessibility, meaningful work, family and community life. Sen's capability approach provides a useful perspective by distinguishing the resources available to people from their substantive opportunities to achieve ways of living they have reason to value.[10]

A useful conceptual progression for off-world civilization is therefore:

Survive → Adapt → Stabilize → Develop → Thrive → Evolve

Quality of Life sits at the center of this progression.

A civilization could possess extraordinary technological capabilities while providing poor conditions for human flourishing. Technological advancement and civilizational advancement should therefore not automatically be treated as equivalent. This distinction becomes particularly important when considering concepts such as the Kardashev scale and humanity's possible transition toward a Type I civilization.[1]

The question is not only how much technological capability a civilization develops, but:

What human, social, cultural and adaptive capacities must accompany increasing technological capability for a civilization to thrive?

The challenge may ultimately be not only to develop technologies worthy of an advanced civilization, but to develop a civilization worthy of its technologies.

4 Civilization-Building Intelligence: What Do We Mean by Intelligence?

This paper proposes Civilization-Building Intelligence (CBI) as a conceptual framework. The term intelligence is deliberate.

CBI does not refer simply to IQ, individual expertise or a checklist of desirable competencies. Rather, intelligence is considered here as the capacity to perceive, understand, connect, learn, reason, decide, act, adapt and preserve what has been learned.

Research on distributed cognition has demonstrated that cognitive processes can extend beyond an individual and emerge through interactions among people, social organization and artifacts.[11] Research on collective intelligence similarly suggests that groups can exhibit capacities that cannot be understood solely through the intelligence of individual members.[12]

CBI extends this perspective to the scale and long-term requirements of civilization. At this level, intelligence may be distributed across:

individuals ↔ teams ↔ communities ↔ institutions ↔ AI systems ↔ robots ↔ knowledge systems ↔ generations

A working definition is therefore proposed:

Civilization-Building Intelligence is the distributed and adaptive capacity of humans, communities, institutions and technological systems to perceive and understand changing conditions; integrate knowledge and diverse forms of intelligence; make responsible decisions; learn from experience; create and implement solutions; preserve and transmit knowledge and culture; and continuously adapt the interconnected systems required for civilization to survive, achieve Quality of Life, thrive and evolve across generations and environments.

This definition remains a conceptual proposition requiring comparison with existing scholarship and future empirical investigation.

The central question becomes not simply:

How intelligent are the individuals?

But:

How capable is the civilization of learning?

5 Humans, Technology, Society and Environment

Civilization-building beyond Earth occurs through the interaction of four domains:

Human systems — physiology, psychology, cognition, relationships, health, learning, creativity, identity and values.[5][6][7]

Technological systems — AI, robotics, habitats, energy, communications, manufacturing, transportation, biotechnology and life support.

Societal systems — education, economy, institutions, governance, culture, family, work, healthcare and social organization.

Environmental systems — gravity, radiation, atmosphere, temperature, geology, resources, distance and communications latency.

Sociotechnical systems research has long emphasized that human and technological systems are interdependent rather than independently optimizable.[13] NASA's Human Systems Integration approach similarly integrates human capabilities and limitations with hardware and software in the design of complex space systems.[14]

CBI extends this systems perspective from mission and organizational performance toward the broader requirements of civilization. Human behavior shapes technology; technology changes how people live; environmental constraints affect both; and social structures evolve around them.

Civilization-building is therefore a **human–technological–social–environmental integration challenge**. These systems continually influence one another, generating new needs, adaptations and innovations.

The result is not a finished civilization, but a learning and co-evolving civilization.

6 Education: Building the Capacity to Build Civilization

Education is not peripheral to civilization readiness. It is one of the mechanisms through which civilization preserves, reproduces and transforms itself: transferring knowledge and culture, developing competence, preparing people to participate in society, and enabling future generations to build upon what previous generations have learned.

At a frontier, however, education must also prepare people to respond when established knowledge and procedures are insufficient. Research on adaptive expertise distinguishes efficient performance in familiar situations from the capacity to apply knowledge flexibly, learn and develop new approaches when confronting unfamiliar problems.[15]

Civilization-ready education may therefore require four functions:

Education for known competence — developing expertise required for identifiable civilization functions.

Education for adaptive competence — developing the capacity to transfer knowledge, continue learning and respond to unfamiliar problems.

Education for civilization continuity — preserving and transmitting knowledge, history, lessons and culture across generations.

Education for civilization evolution — enabling future generations to question, improve and transform the systems they inherit.

The conventional pathway:

Education → Qualification → Job

may increasingly coexist with:

Need → Gap → Learning → Application → Innovation → Solution → Knowledge Sharing → New Learning

Education for civilization readiness must therefore prepare people not only for what is already known, but for continued learning when circumstances, technologies and human needs change.

This creates the possibility of a learning civilization.

7 Professions We Know—and Professions We Cannot Yet Name

It may be impossible to specify the complete occupational structure of a future off-world civilization. Rather than planning exclusively around current job titles, civilization readiness can begin with the functions that must be performed: maintaining life support, producing food and energy, constructing and repairing habitats, protecting health, educating, communicating, manufacturing, governing, caring, creating culture, conducting science, managing resources and innovating.

For each function, civilization readiness asks:

What should humans do?

What should AI do?

What should robots do?

What should humans and intelligent machines do together?

Some professions may emerge only when new environments reveal needs that do not presently exist. Education therefore faces a paradox:

Humanity must prepare people for professions that can be identified today while developing their capacity to create expertise for professions that do not yet exist.

Civilization readiness consequently depends not only on preparing a workforce, but on maintaining the capacity to create new knowledge, competencies and professions as civilization evolves.

8 Expertise, Redundancy and the Capacity to Step Up

Small off-world populations cannot assume that every critical specialist will always be available. Illness, injury, fatigue, psychological impairment, communications disruption or simultaneous emergencies may remove access to essential expertise.

NASA's developing Mars architecture similarly recognizes that communication delays will require substantial crew autonomy and that crew members may need training across multiple specialized roles to provide adequate capability and redundancy.[16]

Civilization readiness therefore requires critical knowledge and capability to extend beyond individual specialists. A possible educational architecture is:

Primary expertise → Secondary competence → Emergency competence → Adaptive competence

This does not require everyone to know everything. Cross-training, documentation, simulation, AI-supported knowledge systems and distributed expertise can enable others to assume unfamiliar responsibilities when necessary.

Redundancy also has a Quality-of-Life dimension: people must be able to rest, recover, become sick, care for families and age without making the civilization itself fragile.

9 Communication as Civilization Infrastructure

Communication is not merely telecommunications infrastructure; it is a fundamental civilization function connecting people, technological systems, institutions and knowledge.

Off-world civilization will require both **local communication**, within settlements, and **interworld communication**, connecting Earth and increasingly distant communities. Communication supports safety, relationships, leadership, education, culture, scientific collaboration, psychological well-being, emergency response and collective memory.

As distance increases, communication becomes increasingly asynchronous and local autonomy more important. NASA's Mars architecture already anticipates substantial communication delays and greater independence from Earth-based support.[16] Critical knowledge must therefore remain locally accessible when terrestrial expertise cannot be reached.

AI-supported knowledge systems may eventually assist by preserving context, retrieving information, translating languages, summarizing extensive exchanges and maintaining continuity across asynchronous collaboration. Such systems also introduce requirements for accuracy, traceability, trust and human oversight.

A civilization cannot fully benefit from what it collectively knows unless it can **communicate, preserve, retrieve and act upon that knowledge.**

10 Empathy, Care, Leadership and the Human Civilization

Technological resilience alone is insufficient. People living beyond Earth will experience fear, grief, conflict, mistakes, loneliness, exhaustion, uncertainty and loss, while future civilian populations may not possess the psychological selection or preparation associated with professional astronauts.[6][7]

Empathy and care therefore become part of civilization infrastructure.

Civilization-building leadership likewise extends beyond command during emergencies. It must enable communities to learn, maintain trust, coordinate expertise, manage disagreement, protect human dignity and make decisions whose consequences may extend across generations.

A learning civilization must also distinguish between recklessness and responsible experimentation, and between accountability and cultures of blame that discourage reporting, innovation and learning.

The intelligence required to build civilization beyond Earth may therefore be measured not only by humanity's ability to solve problems no one has solved before, but by its capacity to care for people experiencing circumstances no human society has previously faced.

11 Pioneering Innovation: Learning Under Constraint

Off-world civilization will require an unusual combination of reliability and exploration. Life-critical systems must operate dependably, while unfamiliar environments will generate problems for which established solutions may be inadequate.

Organizational learning research distinguishes between exploitation of existing knowledge and exploration of new possibilities, emphasizing the importance—and difficulty—of balancing both.[17] Resilience engineering similarly emphasizes the capacity of complex systems to adapt to changing conditions, disturbances and surprises.[18]

Off-world communities extend this challenge beyond organizations. The exploratory unit is also the community, and experimentation occurs within systems upon which human survival and Quality of Life depend.

This paper therefore proposes **Pioneering Innovation** as the capacity to identify emerging or previously unrecognized needs, learn under unfamiliar constraints, and responsibly develop, test and integrate solutions where adequate precedents do not yet exist.

Its central tension can be expressed as:

Reliability in what must work + Exploration where existing solutions are inadequate

Pioneering Innovation is therefore not innovation for its own sake. Within CBI, it represents a mechanism through which civilization learns and adapts when established knowledge, technologies or practices are insufficient.

12 Different Worlds as Different Learning Environments

Civilization readiness cannot end at the Moon. The Moon, Mars, Titan and future destinations present radically different environmental, operational and human conditions.

CBI therefore cannot be a manual explaining how to live on one world. It must concern the capacity to discover what different worlds require humanity to learn.

This produces a destination-independent principle:

Civilization-Building Intelligence does not require humanity to know in advance how to live on every world. It requires civilization to become capable of discovering what each world demands, learning from those demands, and transforming that knowledge into conditions in which life can thrive.

Different environments may generate different technologies, educational practices, professions, human-machine relationships, social adaptations and, over time, cultures.

Knowledge may consequently flow:

Earth → Moon → Earth

Earth → Mars → Earth

and eventually:

Earth ↔ Moon ↔ Mars ↔ other worlds

Rather than Earth simply teaching settlements how to live, humanity may eventually develop multiple worlds teaching one another how civilization can live.

13 Preparing for Radical Unknowns

Civilization readiness also requires intellectual humility. Humanity cannot know every phenomenon future explorers or settlements may encounter. Unexpected environmental effects, biological evidence, geological phenomena, anomalous signals, technological behavior or scientific observations may challenge existing models.

CBI must therefore include **epistemic readiness**: the capacity to investigate unfamiliar phenomena without prematurely converting uncertainty into either certainty or dismissal. This requires evidence preservation, transparent inquiry, competing hypotheses, access to relevant knowledge, communication of uncertainty and willingness to revise conclusions when evidence changes.

At the most speculative boundary lies the possibility of discovering evidence of extraterrestrial life or intelligence. This paper does not assume that extraterrestrial civilizations have been discovered or that disputed UAP claims constitute evidence of extraterrestrial technology. Loeb's *Interstellar* is relevant here not as evidence of contact, but as an exploration of humanity's scientific and societal preparation for the possibility of discovering extraterrestrial technological civilizations.[3][8]

The broader principle does not depend upon such a discovery:

How should humans perceive, communicate, learn and make decisions when encountering phenomena for which they possess no adequate precedent?

A civilization prepared only for what it already understands is not fully prepared for a frontier.

14 Culture, Identity and Generations

Culture cannot be treated as an optional addition after survival has been secured. Off-world communities will create relationships, traditions, languages, arts, stories, institutions and identities, while education will transmit inherited culture and enable future generations to transform it.[9]

The first settlers may understand themselves primarily as people from Earth living somewhere else. Future generations may not. Children born beyond Earth may develop different relationships with their environment, Earth, work, technology, community and identity.

Civilization readiness must therefore consider not only how people survive, but how successive generations create meaning, belonging and continuity while developing cultures of their own.

A civilization capable of surviving but incapable of creating meaning, belonging, culture and continuity would remain incomplete.

15 From Type I Technology to Civilizational Maturity

The Kardashev scale provides an influential way of conceptualizing advanced civilizations through their capacity to harness energy.[1] Kaku extends this technological trajectory toward planetary and eventually interstellar civilization, including robotics, biotechnology and human expansion beyond Earth.[2]

CBI introduces a complementary question:

Should an advanced civilization be evaluated only by the magnitude of energy and technology it commands—or also by what it becomes capable of doing with that power?

Technological resources alone do not determine the opportunities, freedoms or Quality of Life available to people.[10] A technologically advanced civilization may still experience conflict, inequality, environmental degradation, misinformation, inadequate education, poor leadership or loss of human agency.

Civilizational maturity may therefore require technological capability to develop alongside wisdom, adaptability, learning, cooperation, culture, empathy, responsibility and Quality of Life.

CBI does not replace the Kardashev scale. It asks about another dimension:

What intelligence must accompany increasing technological power?

16 Earth and Off-World Civilization as a Learning System

Off-world civilization should not be understood as an escape from Earth. Earth will remain an extraordinary source of knowledge, culture, expertise, technology and human diversity, while off-world communities may eventually contribute new knowledge in return.

Extreme constraints may expose weaknesses in technologies and social systems that remain less visible under terrestrial conditions. Innovations involving energy, water, food, recycling, health, education, communications, AI, robotics, habitat design or resource efficiency may consequently generate applications on Earth.

The relationship becomes reciprocal:

Earth Knowledge → Off-World Application → New Constraint → Problem Discovery → Innovation → New Knowledge → Earth Application → Further Development

Civilization-building beyond Earth may therefore become another way of learning how to improve civilization on Earth.

The Moon and Mars need not become replacements for Earth. They may become mirrors through which humanity learns to see its own civilization differently.

17 Toward Civilization Readiness

Humanity has developed concepts of mission readiness, technology readiness and operational readiness. Permanent human expansion beyond Earth may require something broader: **Civilization Readiness**.

Civilization Readiness asks whether communities can sustain the interconnected capacities required not merely to survive, but to learn, communicate, care, educate, adapt, innovate, preserve knowledge and culture, integrate human and machine intelligence, manage uncertainty, support future generations and progressively improve Quality of Life.

Within the framework proposed here:

Civilization-Building Intelligence connects and develops these capacities.

Pioneering Innovation enables responses when established knowledge and solutions become insufficient.

Education develops, preserves and renews capability.

Communication distributes knowledge and maintains connection.

Culture creates continuity, identity and meaning.

Quality of Life provides a human-centered measure of whether civilizational development constitutes progress.

Civilization Readiness therefore concerns not simply whether humanity can establish permanent settlements beyond Earth, but whether those settlements can become learning, adaptive and flourishing civilizations.

18 Conclusion: What Must Humans Become on the Path to Off-World Civilization?

Humanity's transition from visiting other worlds to living on them represents more than an expansion of human presence in space. It raises a deeper question: what does it take to transform an outpost into a home, a settlement into a society, and eventually a society into a civilization capable not merely of surviving, but of thriving?

Technology will be indispensable. Artificial intelligence, robotics, autonomous systems, advanced communications, biotechnology, energy systems, manufacturing, resource utilization, and technologies not yet developed will profoundly shape what becomes possible. Yet technological advancement alone does not constitute civilizational advancement. The ability to command greater energy, automate more work, or build increasingly intelligent machines does not by itself determine whether people experience dignity, belonging, purpose, opportunity, psychological well-being, cultural richness, or meaningful lives.

The challenge is therefore not only to develop technologies worthy of a spacefaring civilization, but to develop a civilization worthy of its technologies.

This paper has proposed Civilization-Building Intelligence (CBI) as an emerging framework for examining that challenge. CBI is not a fixed inventory of competencies, nor does it assume that humanity can predict the requirements of future civilizations. Instead, it asks whether humans, communities, institutions, AI, robots, and knowledge systems can collectively perceive changing conditions, connect knowledge, make responsible decisions, learn from experience, preserve what has been learned, create what does not yet exist, and continuously improve the interconnected systems upon which civilization depends.

This capacity becomes particularly important because different worlds will present different conditions. The Moon will not be Mars; Mars will not be Titan; and future destinations may confront humanity with environments, resources, risks, opportunities, and phenomena that are not yet understood. Civilization readiness must therefore include not only what humanity already knows, but the capacity to discover what it needs to learn.

Education, communication, culture, distributed expertise, human–AI–robot collaboration, empathy, leadership, and Pioneering Innovation consequently become interconnected elements of civilization readiness. Critical knowledge must be preserved and shared rather than residing exclusively in individuals. People must be able to develop expertise while adapting when established expertise proves insufficient. Communities must maintain trust, care, meaning, culture, and human agency while increasingly relying upon intelligent technological systems. Future generations must be able not only to inherit civilization, but to question, improve, and transform it.

Civilization-building must also remain open to profound unknowns. Future communities may encounter unfamiliar environmental, biological, geological, technological, or other phenomena for which established models provide inadequate explanations. At the speculative boundary lies the possibility of discovering extraterrestrial life or intelligence, but the broader principle does not depend upon such a discovery. Civilization-Building Intelligence requires the capacity to acknowledge uncertainty, preserve evidence, investigate anomalies, consider competing hypotheses, communicate what is known and unknown, revise assumptions when evidence changes, and learn without abandoning critical thinking or human judgment.

Quality of Life provides an essential measure of whether this development constitutes progress. A civilization may become technologically powerful while remaining socially fragile, psychologically damaging, culturally impoverished, poorly informed, or unable to learn from its failures. Civilizational maturity may therefore require technological capability to develop alongside knowledge, responsibility, adaptability, empathy, education, culture, human agency, and wisdom.

The relationship between Earth and future off-world communities should consequently be understood not simply as knowledge flowing outward from Earth. Extreme environments may expose weaknesses in technologies, institutions, educational systems, human–machine relationships, and social arrangements that remain less visible under terrestrial conditions. Solutions developed beyond Earth may return knowledge and innovation to Earth, while different off-world communities may eventually learn from one another. Humanity could begin to develop not merely settlements on multiple worlds, but a distributed learning civilization across worlds.

Civilization-Building Intelligence does not require humanity to know in advance how to live on every world. It asks whether humanity can become capable of discovering what each environment requires, connecting what its people and machines collectively know, learning from failure and uncertainty, creating what does not yet

exist, preserving what matters, and transmitting accumulated knowledge, culture, and capability to the generations that follow.

The intelligence of a civilization may ultimately be revealed not by how much it already knows, but by how well it learns when it encounters what it does not know.

Reaching another world will be an extraordinary technological achievement.

Making that world a home will be a civilizational achievement.

And perhaps the greatest frontier will not ultimately be the Moon, Mars, Titan, or a world humanity has yet to discover.

The greatest frontier may be the civilization humanity becomes in learning to live among them.

ACRONYMS AND KEY TERMS

Acronym	Description
CBI	Civilization-Building Intelligence
HRI	Human-Robot Interaction
AI	Artificial Intelligence
UAP	Unidentified Anomalous Phenomena
SETI	Search for Extraterrestrial Intelligence
SRI	Space Renaissance International
H-AI	Human-AI Interaction
HMI	Human-Machine Interaction
ICE	Isolated, Confined, and Extreme
ISRU	In-Situ Resource Utilization
ECLSS	Environmental Control and Life Support System
AGI	Artificial General Intelligence
ASI	Artificial Superintelligence

REFERENCES

- [1] **Kardashev, N. S. (1964).** Transmission of information by extraterrestrial civilizations. *Soviet Astronomy*, 8(2), 217–221.
- [2] **Kaku, M. (2018).** *The Future of Humanity: Terraforming Mars, Interstellar Travel, Immortality, and Our Destiny Beyond Earth*. Doubleday.
- [3] **Loeb, A. (2023).** *Interstellar: The Search for Extraterrestrial Life and Our Future in the Stars*. Mariner Books.
- [4] NASA Provides Updates on Moon Base Cargo Landers, Tech Demonstrations. <https://www.nasa.gov/missions/moon-base/nasa-provides-updates-on-moon-base-cargo-landers-tech-demonstrations/>
- [5] Moon Base. <https://www.nasa.gov/reference/moonbase-about/>
- [6] **Vakoch, D. A. (Ed.). (2011).** *Psychology of Space Exploration: Contemporary Research in Historical Perspective*. NASA History Series, NASA SP-2011-4411. National Aeronautics and Space Administration.
- [7] **Landon, L. B., Slack, K. J., & Salas, E. (Eds.). (2020).** *Psychology and Human Performance in Space Programs (2-volume set)*. CRC Press.

- [8] **Vakoch, D. A. (Ed.).** (2014). *Archaeology, Anthropology, and Interstellar Communication*. National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Office of Communications
- [9] **Dator, J. A.** (2012). *Social Foundations of Human Space Exploration*. Springer.
<https://link.springer.com/book/10.1007/978-1-4614-3094-0>
- [10] **Sen, A.** (1993). *Capability and Well-Being*. In M. C. Nussbaum & A. Sen (Eds.), *The Quality of Life* (pp. 30–53). Oxford University Press.
- [11] **Hutchins, E.** (1995). *Cognition in the Wild*. MIT Press.
- [12] **Woolley, A. W., Chabris, C. F., Pentland, A., Hashmi, N., & Malone, T. W.** (2010). Evidence for a collective intelligence factor in the performance of human groups. *Science*, 330(6004), 686–688.
<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/20929725/>
- [13] **Fox, W. M.** (1995). Sociotechnical system principles and guidelines: Past and present. *The Journal of Applied Behavioral Science*, 31(1), 91–105.
- [14] **Rippy, L. O.** (2021). *NASA Human Systems Integration Handbook*. NASA/SP-20210010952. National Aeronautics and Space Administration.
- [15] **Schwartz, D. L., Bransford, J. D., & Sears, D.** (2005). Efficiency and innovation in transfer. In J. P. Mestre (Ed.), *Transfer of Learning from a Modern Multidisciplinary Perspective* (pp. 1–51). Information Age Publishing.
- [16] **National Aeronautics and Space Administration.** (2025). *NASA's Moon to Mars Architecture: 2025 Architecture Update*. NASA. <https://www.nasa.gov/wp-content/uploads/2025/12/2025-architecture-update-for-publication.pdf>
- [17] **March, J. G.** (1991). Exploration and exploitation in organizational learning. *Organization Science*, 2(1), 71–87.
- [18] **Hollnagel, E., Woods, D. D., & Leveson, N. (Eds.).** (2006). *Resilience Engineering: Concepts and Precepts*. Ashgate.