

The Digital Bird Must Fly: Why the 18th SDG Needs Freedom from Agenda 2030

[author] -----

Veronica Chiaravalli, Founder, CEO, and CRO at Emerging Technologies Sweden,
vc.multiplanetaryx@gmail.com

[symposium, session] -----

SESSION 2.3: Space 18th SDG Coalition

[paper information] -----

☐ this paper was not published before

☐ I agree that Space Renaissance International will publish this paper on their websites and publications

ABSTRACT

Humanity is entering a period of accelerating technological and civilizational transformation shaped by artificial intelligence, autonomous systems, robotics, and expanding activity across the Earth–Moon system. These developments increasingly challenge frameworks designed around fixed timelines, established categories, and predictable trajectories.

This paper supports the objectives of the Space 18th SDG while arguing that a goal concerned with continuous technological and civilizational transformation should not remain structurally constrained by Agenda 2030. It proposes **Pioneering Innovation and a Humanity-First orientation** as foundations for an independent, long-term SDG18 capable of adapting as scientific knowledge, technological capabilities, societal conditions, and off-world environments evolve.

The paper develops the **Living Map** as an adaptive architecture based on continuous observation, participation, experimentation, learning, and adaptation. It further introduces **Civilization Intelligence, Civilization Readiness, Reciprocal Human–AI Capability Growth, the Pioneer–Policy Feedback Loop, and Frontiers of Innovation** to examine how human agency and capability can be preserved while increasingly intelligent systems participate in civilization-scale transformation.

The central argument is that sustainable development should provide foundations without predetermining the pathways of an emerging spacefaring civilization. **The Digital Bird must be allowed to fly: innovation must remain sufficiently free to explore new possibilities, while human agency and flourishing provide its compass.**

Keywords: 18th SDG; Space18SDG; Pioneering Innovation; Humanity-First; digital transformation; artificial intelligence; Human–Robot Interaction; human agency; cognitive safety; Living Map; cislunar development; Quality of Life.

PAPER

1 Introduction

Humanity is experiencing accelerating technological transformation driven by artificial intelligence, autonomous agents, robotics, and other converging technologies [21]. The original presentation framed this shift as “The World of 2015 vs. The World of 2026”: long-term frameworks designed around fifteen-year targets now operate in an environment changing far more rapidly. This paper describes one dimension of this transformation as **Intelligence Inversion**: an emerging condition in which humans can no longer be assumed to possess the highest cognitive capability across the systems in which they operate. As AI becomes increasingly capable in reasoning, knowledge production, analysis, creation, and autonomous action, technology is shifting from being primarily a tool used by humans toward becoming an intelligent participant in socio-technical systems. A development framework conceived before this inversion cannot simply assume that

its original technological, institutional, and human assumptions will remain adequate through 2030 and beyond. This transformation affects not only technological capability but human agency, creativity, work, learning, decision-making, and increasingly the relationship between human and machine intelligence. A Humanity-First approach therefore asks how technological progress can strengthen human flourishing and capability rather than require humanity to adapt passively to technological trajectories.

These questions become increasingly significant as technological transformation intersects with humanity's expansion beyond Earth. The emerging Earth–Moon system will involve humans working with AI, autonomous systems, and robotics in environments where new socio-technical systems must be developed. The proposed 18th Sustainable Development Goal[27] provides a context for addressing this transformation. This paper supports its recognition of space and digital transformation [10] while questioning whether a goal concerned with continuous technological and civilizational change should remain structurally constrained by Agenda 2030's temporal and conceptual boundaries.

This paper therefore argues that the Space 18th SDG should **not be structurally contained within Agenda 2030**. The human needs addressed by the existing SDGs remain important, but SDG18 concerns an intergenerational frontier shaped by Intelligence Inversion, accelerating technological convergence, and sustained human–machine activity beyond Earth. It proposes **Pioneering Innovation and a Humanity-First orientation** as foundations for navigating this transformation, together with a **Living Map** capable of evolving through exploration, evidence, experimentation, participation, and continuous learning. Rather than extending a framework designed for a previous technological era, SDG18 should become a long-term, adaptive architecture for an emerging spacefaring civilization.

2 Civilization-Scale Transformation in a World of Accelerating Change

Humanity's transition toward an increasingly technological and spacefaring civilization extends beyond conventional sustainable development. Rapid technological convergence, expanding activity beyond Earth, and changing relationships between humans and intelligent systems create challenges that were difficult to anticipate when Agenda 2030 was adopted. This section examines that changing context and why the proposed 18th SDG may require a more adaptive, long-term approach.

2.1 What Is Agenda 2030?

The 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development was adopted by the 193 United Nations Member States in 2015, establishing 17 Sustainable Development Goals and 169 targets for 2016–2030 [1]. Its development included consultation with civil society and other stakeholders [1]. The United Nations' 2026 assessment reports progress in several areas, but only 15 percent of assessed targets were on track [16]. The question addressed here is whether a framework conceived in 2015 is sufficiently adaptive for the technological and civilizational transformation now emerging.

2.2 The Space 18th SDG and its Global Coalition

The Space 18th SDG initiative, led by Space Renaissance International (SRI) and the National Space Society (NSS), proposes a goal addressing sustainable civilian space development and the use of space capabilities to advance existing SDGs [12], [13], [15]. The initiative has developed into an international coalition [11], [13], [14], [15].

Its premise—“**Space for All, on Earth and Beyond**”—extends sustainable development toward human activity beyond Earth [13]. This paper asks whether such a long-term goal should remain structurally tied to a framework ending in 2030.

2.3 Why Does the 18th SDG Matter for Humanity?

Space already supports communications, navigation, Earth observation, climate and disaster monitoring, economic activity, and technological innovation. The UN Space2030 Agenda similarly recognizes space economy, society, accessibility, and diplomacy as interconnected dimensions of sustainable development [17].

SDG18 extends this perspective toward sustained activity beyond Earth, where transportation, energy, communications, health, habitats, resources, robotics, AI, governance, and international cooperation become interconnected civilization-building challenges.

2.4 From Sustainable Development to Civilization-Scale Transformation

Since 2015, AI, robotics, biotechnology, neurotechnology, quantum technologies, advanced materials,

energy, and space technologies have accelerated and increasingly converged [18].

Because technologies and institutions evolve at different speeds, SDG18 should complement sustainability with the capacity to adapt to scientific discovery, technological acceleration, emerging risks, and changing human needs.

2.5 Multiple Frontiers of Transformation

Civilization-scale transformation is occurring across converging technological frontiers including AI, robotics, engineering biology, advanced materials, quantum technologies, spatial intelligence, energy, and computing [18], [19]. AI systems are also progressing toward greater reasoning, tool use, autonomy, and interaction with physical systems, although significant limitations remain [20].

The issue is therefore not whether one technology crosses a particular threshold, but whether converging capabilities increasingly produce **civilization-scale effects**.

2.6 The Participation and Adaptation Challenge

Agenda 2030 incorporated civil-society consultation and promotes participatory implementation [1], [8] but consultation during a framework's creation differs from continuous participation as conditions evolve.

For SDG18, governments and institutions should therefore interact continuously with entrepreneurs, researchers, educators, communities, young people, and other pioneering actors. Civilization readiness requires not only sustainable systems but the capacity to **question, learn, participate, and change direction**.

2.7 Agenda 2030 and the Limits of a Fixed Map

Agenda 2030 includes review mechanisms such as Voluntary National Reviews [1]. The issue is not the absence of review, but the difference between measuring progress toward predetermined goals and reassessing whether the map itself remains adequate.

The OECD emphasizes anticipatory governance incorporating foresight, technology assessment, participation, experimentation, learning, adaptation, and international cooperation [2], [9]. This paper therefore proposes a **Living Map** that preserves Humanity-First principles while allowing priorities and pathways to evolve.

2.8 Why the 18th SDG Requires a Different Architecture

A Living Map for Humanity combines long-term direction with continuous observation, foresight, experimentation, participation, learning, and adaptation:

Detect → Verify → Explore → Experiment → Assess → Deliberate → Learn → Adapt

Core principles can remain stable while technologies, targets, policies, and pathways evolve. An independent SDG18 could provide an environment for this approach beyond 2030, connecting sustainable development on Earth with humanity's emerging responsibilities beyond Earth.

The Digital Bird must be allowed to fly—not without direction, responsibility, or safeguards, but without assuming that its entire path can be drawn before the journey has begun.

3 Pioneering Innovation: Navigating Beyond the Existing Map

The transition from a fixed map to a Living Map requires a way of operating when the territory itself is still being discovered. This paper introduces **Pioneering Innovation** as an approach combining exploration, experimentation, Futures Thinking, evidence, entrepreneurship, human experience, and continuous learning to navigate uncertainty while maintaining a Humanity-First orientation.

3.1 Defining Pioneering Innovation

Pioneering Innovation is defined here as the exploration, experimentation, creation, and continuous adaptation of pathways into emerging environments and futures where knowledge is incomplete, established solutions may be insufficient, and technological, societal, economic, or institutional conditions remain in formation.

Unlike innovation focused primarily on new products, services, processes, or technologies, Pioneering Innovation is defined by its relationship to the frontier. It combines foresight, research, entrepreneurship, experimentation, human experience, and governance to learn how to operate where existing maps are incomplete.

3.2 Pioneering Actors in Civilization-Scale Transformation

Pioneering actors include entrepreneurs, startups, SMEs, researchers, educators, independent innovators, analog astronauts, experimental communities, and teams operating near emerging frontiers. Their contribution lies in identifying opportunities, testing technologies and business models, and generating knowledge where markets, standards, policies, and user needs remain uncertain.

For SDG18, such actors can help identify emerging needs across habitats, robotics, AI, health, education, energy, resource utilization, logistics, manufacturing, and other domains. Including them broadens “Space for All” beyond states, agencies, and established aerospace corporations toward wider participation in building a spacefaring civilization.

3.3 From Prediction to Exploration

Forecasts and roadmaps remain useful, but become less reliable as uncertainty increases. Strategic foresight instead explores multiple plausible futures through tools including horizon scanning, scenarios, visioning, and back-casting [23]. Pioneering Innovation connects this foresight directly with experimentation: signals are investigated, assumptions tested, evidence generated, and direction revised as conditions evolve.

For SDG18, this is essential because the trajectories of LEO commercialization, cislunar infrastructure, lunar communities, human–AI collaboration, autonomous robotics, resource utilization, and emerging space economies cannot yet be specified with confidence.

3.4 Experimentation, Learning, and Adaptive Portfolios

Complex transformation requires portfolios of complementary experiments rather than dependence on single solutions. OECD research similarly emphasizes flexible and adaptive management of mission-oriented innovation portfolios [24].

SDG18 could therefore support parallel experimentation across habitats, energy, robotics, human–AI collaboration, resource utilization, education, business models, governance, and Quality of Life. Successful approaches can scale; unsuccessful ones can generate knowledge or be discontinued; and new pathways can emerge from evidence.

3.5 Pioneering Innovation as a Civilization Capability

Pioneering Innovation extends beyond technological invention. Civilization readiness also depends on social innovation, entrepreneurship, education, governance, diplomacy, human performance, ethics, market development, and interdisciplinary cooperation.

No single institution, profession, country, or technology can provide all the knowledge required for sustained off-world civilization. Pioneering Innovation should therefore become a distributed capability through which institutions, companies, researchers, entrepreneurs, citizens, analog environments, and future off-world communities contribute different forms of intelligence.

3.6 The Pioneer–Policy Feedback Loop

Pioneers and policymakers operate at different but complementary tempos. Pioneers can rapidly identify capabilities, opportunities, and risks, while policymakers must address legitimacy, safety, law, accountability, coordination, and long-term consequences.[3]

The proposed **Pioneer–Policy Feedback Loop** connects these capabilities:

Frontier Signal → Pioneering Exploration → Experimentation → Evidence → Opportunity/Risk Assessment → Policy Learning → Enabling Conditions and Guardrails → Scaling → New Frontier Signals

4 Humanity-First Technological Transformation

A **Humanity-First** approach places human dignity, agency, capability, well-being, freedom of thought, participation, and Quality of Life at the center of technological transformation.[4] Its purpose is not to resist technological acceleration, but to ensure that increasing technological capability strengthens humanity's capacity to shape civilization.

Human agency requires sufficient knowledge and decision-making capacity to question technological recommendations, understand consequences, develop alternatives, and intervene when systems fail. Scientific evidence should interact with distributed expertise from researchers, engineers, policymakers,

entrepreneurs, operators, educators, communities, and eventually off-world inhabitants. AI can help integrate this knowledge but should not replace human judgment.

Technological and off-world development should also be evaluated through its contribution to human flourishing. Health, psychological well-being, autonomy, privacy, culture, relationships, purpose, safety, learning, creativity, participation, and prosperity help determine whether people merely survive or genuinely thrive. A Humanity-First civilization should expand **human capability alongside technological capability**.

This paper defines **civilizational agency** as humanity's collective capacity to influence its direction as technological systems become increasingly powerful and autonomous. It includes the ability to question trajectories, evaluate alternatives, learn from experience, and change direction. This requires preserving **optionality** for future generations: the capacity to question, redesign, and improve inherited systems rather than being confined to pathways chosen in advance.

5 Human–AI Co-Creation and Reciprocal Capability Growth

AI can process information, identify patterns, generate alternatives, support simulations, and monitor systems at scales beyond unaided human capacity. Humans contribute embodied experience, contextual understanding, values, responsibility, imagination, judgment, and the ability to determine which objectives matter. Civilization readiness therefore requires complementary human–machine systems rather than unconditional automation.[5] AI assistance becomes **cognitive outsourcing** when reasoning, questioning, interpretation, creativity, or decision-making are delegated without sufficient human understanding to evaluate the results. [6] Co-creation instead preserves active participation: AI may generate analyses, alternatives, simulations, or prototypes while humans question, interpret, modify, reject, combine, and create. Education should therefore strengthen critical thinking, scientific literacy, creativity, ethical reasoning, and independent capability.

Reciprocal Human–AI Capability Growth proposes that technological advancement should strengthen rather than diminish human capability. AI can support learning, experimentation, discovery, creativity, and decision-making, while human expertise, experience, values, and feedback contribute to the development and appropriate use of intelligent systems.

This becomes particularly important beyond Earth, where humans and intelligent systems may encounter problems without established terrestrial solutions. Their combined learning can contribute to the **Living Map**, while preserving human agency, resilience, responsibility, creativity, and purpose.

6 Cognitive Safety, Agency, and Resilient Interdependence

As AI becomes integrated into education, work, research, governance, and decision-making, civilization readiness must include cognitive safety: protecting human judgment, critical thinking, creativity, learning, autonomy, and resilience within AI-mediated environments.

AI can increase capability while weakening underlying human skills when reasoning, planning, navigation, writing, or decision-making are consistently delegated. Dependence also becomes a resilience risk when critical activities rely on limited models, platforms, infrastructures, or providers.[6]

Beyond Earth, these risks become particularly significant because communities may depend heavily on AI, robotics, life-support, communications, navigation, and autonomous infrastructure. [7] Resilience therefore requires redundancy, repairability, diagnostic capability, interoperability, local knowledge, alternative modes of operation, and opportunities for humans to retain essential competence. **Maximum automation should not be confused with maximum preparedness.**

The objective is not technological independence but **resilient interdependence**: human and machine capabilities strengthening one another while preserving the capacity to adapt and recover. Analog missions, simulations, remote operations, and future off-world environments can test how human–AI–robot teams respond to uncertainty, isolation, communication constraints, and failure, with lessons feeding the **Living Map** and **Pioneer–Policy Feedback Loop**.

As humanity moves farther from Earth, resilience may increasingly depend on humans and intelligent systems being able not merely to execute procedures, but to **learn, improvise, and solve new problems together**.

7 The Earth–Moon System as a New Frontier

The Earth–Moon system is evolving from a domain primarily associated with exploration toward sustained scientific, technological, economic, and societal activity. It provides a practical frontier for applying **Pioneering Innovation, Humanity-First principles, human–AI collaboration, and adaptive governance**.

7.1 From a Planetary to an Off-World Perspective

LEO, cislunar space, lunar orbit, and the lunar surface form an emerging environment involving research, communications, transportation, commerce, robotics, infrastructure, and human presence.

The Space 18th SDG should therefore address not only sustainability in space but the conditions required for sustainable societies beyond Earth, including governance, access, interoperability, economic participation, human well-being, environmental responsibility, cooperation, and peaceful coexistence.

7.2 Humans, AI, Intelligent Systems, and Robots in Off-World Environments

Human expansion beyond Earth will depend heavily on AI, autonomous systems, and robotics for exploration, construction, maintenance, science, resources, logistics, health, and emergency response.[7] Human–AI–robot teams will operate under changing levels of autonomy, risk, and communication constraints.

A Humanity-First approach must therefore consider authority, trust, responsibility, privacy, psychological well-being, and agency, ensuring that automation expands human capability without diminishing meaningful human influence over the civilization being created.

7.3 Off-World Environments as Civilization-Building Laboratories

The Moon offers an environment in which technologies, economic models, governance mechanisms, social arrangements, and human–machine relationships can be tested while many systems are still emerging.

Pioneers will encounter conditions that cannot be fully anticipated from Earth. Their experience can become **Civilization Intelligence**, feeding the **Living Map** and **Pioneer–Policy Feedback Loop**.

The Moon therefore raises a fundamental question:

What kind of civilization do we want to become as we expand beyond Earth?

8 The Living Map: An Adaptive Architecture for the 18th SDG

A Space 18th SDG cannot be designed solely around conditions known today. The Living Map provides an adaptive architecture that combines long-term direction with continuous observation, participation, experimentation, learning, and adaptation.

It distinguishes between relatively stable Humanity-First principles and pathways, priorities, technologies, policies, and interventions that may need to change as knowledge and conditions evolve.

8.1 From a Fixed Map to a Living Map

Traditional targets provide direction and accountability, but some conditions relevant to a future spacefaring civilization do not yet exist or remain poorly understood. AI, autonomous systems, commercial actors, scientific discoveries, infrastructure, and human–robot collaboration may evolve unpredictably. A Living Map therefore assumes that part of the terrain will become visible only through exploration and experience. Human dignity, peace, sustainability, safety, responsibility, human agency, and Quality of Life can provide continuity while the routes used to pursue them remain adaptable.

8.2 Principles of the Living Map

The Living Map should provide orientation without prescribing one future. It should distinguish knowledge from assumptions and weak signals from established evidence, while recognizing uncertainty and multiple possible futures. It should also preserve **plurality and optionality**. Different actors and communities may pursue different pathways while contributing to shared learning, interoperability, peaceful cooperation, and Humanity-First safeguards. Early decisions should avoid unnecessarily closing possibilities for future generations.

8.3 Continuous Observation, Participation, Learning, and Adaptation

This paper proposes Civilization Intelligence as a mechanism for connecting developments across science, technology, markets, society, geopolitics, governance, environment, education, health, AI, robotics, infrastructure, and emerging human needs. Knowledge should also flow from pioneering actors and eventually off-world communities whose lived experience may reveal conditions impossible to anticipate fully from Earth. The Living Map therefore operates iteratively: **Observe** → **Interpret** → **Participate** → **Experiment** → **Learn** → **Adapt** → **Observe Again** [26]

Through this process, an emerging spacefaring civilization can continuously learn from itself.

8.4 Decentralized Agency and Non-Coercive Participation

An adaptive SDG18 should enable participation without unnecessarily concentrating authority or prescribing a single development pathway. Governance remains necessary where activities affect safety, shared environments, resources, infrastructure, interoperability, or peaceful coexistence, but safeguards should not unnecessarily suppress experimentation and entrepreneurship.

Participation should also preserve dignity, privacy, autonomy, and human agency. The **Pioneer–Policy Feedback Loop** connects frontier experience with governance so that pioneers can inform policy while policy provides safeguards and enabling conditions.

The objective is neither innovation without governance nor governance attempting to control every innovation in advance, but a relationship in which **practice informs policy, policy enables responsible practice, and both continue learning.**

8.5 Scientific and Technological Foresight

Foresight strengthens the Living Map by identifying signals, uncertainties, scientific discoveries, technological developments, and broader drivers that may change the environment in which SDG18 operates.

Futures Thinking explores multiple possible futures rather than predicting one. [22], [23], [26] For the Earth–Moon system, it can help reveal connections among developments in AI, robotics, LEO, cislunar infrastructure, communications, energy, commercial space, and human–machine interaction. The objective is not to design SDG18 around a predicted post-2030 future, but to ensure that it can **learn across multiple possible futures.**

9 Asynchronous Civilizational Development: The Uneven Path Toward Type I

Civilization does not advance uniformly. Technological capability, energy systems, infrastructure, governance, education, economic development, social conditions, and Quality of Life can progress at different rates. This paper describes this condition as **asynchronous civilizational development.**

The Kardashev scale classifies civilizations according to energy capability [25]. Reaching Type I therefore fundamentally involves humanity's capacity to harness energy at planetary scale. This paper does not redefine that scale. Instead, it asks a complementary question: **if humanity develops increasingly powerful energy and technological capabilities, will its broader civilizational capabilities develop alongside them?**

9.1 Beyond an Energy-Only Interpretation of Civilization

AI and space development illustrate this asymmetry. Advanced technological capabilities can emerge faster than governance, education, markets, social adaptation, or institutions, while overly rigid governance can also prevent beneficial experimentation.

Signals such as planetary observation, global communications, advanced AI and robotics, planetary-scale science, interconnected energy systems, planetary defense, reusable space transportation, sustained LEO activity, and emerging cislunar infrastructure do not establish that humanity has become Type I. They indicate, however, that technologies increasingly operate at civilization scale.

Energy remains fundamental. The development of abundant, resilient, scalable, and sustainable energy systems will influence humanity's capacity to operate at planetary scale and support increasingly complex technological civilization. The relevant question is therefore not only whether humanity approaches Type I energy capability, but whether its human, social, institutional, and technological capacities develop alongside that power.

9.2 Civilization Readiness

Civilization Readiness considers whether humanity is developing the complementary capacities required to navigate civilization-scale transformation. These include technological competence, resilient infrastructure, adaptive governance, peaceful cooperation, responsible innovation, human agency, education, critical thinking, psychological and social resilience, environmental responsibility, participation, and continuous learning. Readiness is not a prerequisite that must be completed before humanity expands beyond Earth. Exploration itself generates knowledge. Pioneering Actors can identify emerging opportunities and problems, feeding experience into **Civilization Intelligence, the Living Map, and the Pioneer–Policy Feedback Loop.** The transition toward a spacefaring civilization should therefore be evaluated not only by asking **how far humanity can go, but what humanity is becoming as it goes there.**

10 Discussion: From Sustainable Development to Civilization Readiness

Sustainability remains fundamental, but it is insufficient as the sole architecture for an emerging spacefaring civilization. Intelligence Inversion, accelerating technological convergence, and off-world development require adaptability, human agency, Pioneering Innovation, broad participation, and the capacity to learn under uncertainty.

An independent SDG18 could therefore connect sustainability with **Humanity-First principles, Civilization Intelligence, Civilization Readiness, Pioneering Innovation, and the Living Map**. Rather than assuming that targets and implementation mechanisms defined today will remain adequate, stable principles can provide direction while pathways evolve through evidence, experimentation, participation, and learning. The central question is therefore not only whether humanity can develop increasingly powerful technologies or expand beyond Earth, but whether it can preserve the freedom and capability to **responsibly create, evaluate, question, and adapt what comes next**.

11 Pioneering Innovation: Implications for Education, Leadership, and Future Generations

A spacefaring civilization requires people capable of operating where established knowledge, systems, and pathways remain incomplete. This becomes especially important because conventional innovation management has largely developed within terrestrial environments where markets, institutions, infrastructure, supply chains, and governance already exist.

Off-world development raises a different question: **how does innovation operate when parts of the ecosystem supporting innovation must themselves be created?**

11.1 Frontiers of Innovation: From Terrestrial Systems to Off-World Civilization

This paper defines **Frontiers of innovation** as environments in which systems normally supporting innovation are absent immature uncertain rapidly changing or themselves subjects of innovation.

Such frontiers may be technological, infrastructural, market-based, institutional, social, environmental, or related to human–AI–robot interaction. When several converge, a **civilization frontier** emerges: pioneers may participate not only in creating technologies and businesses but also in creating parts of the infrastructure, markets, institutions, and communities within which future innovation occurs.

Established innovation approaches should therefore not be discarded but tested against frontier conditions. Some assumptions will transfer; others will require adaptation or entirely new approaches. **Innovation within a civilization is not necessarily the same as innovation required to help build a civilization.**

12 Limitations and Future Research

This paper is a conceptual and practice-informed contribution that establishes an adaptive architecture for navigating technological and civilizational transformation under conditions of accelerating change. The proposed concepts—including the Living Map, Civilization Intelligence, Civilization Readiness, Pioneering Innovation, the Pioneer–Policy Feedback Loop, and Frontiers of Innovation—provide mechanisms for moving beyond governance and development approaches that depend primarily on fixed timelines, predetermined pathways, and assumptions of relatively predictable change.

Future research should advance the application and operationalization of this architecture across increasingly complex environments. The Living Map and Pioneering Innovation can be applied and evaluated through analog environments, simulations, education, entrepreneurial experimentation, policy contexts, and operational environments in LEO, cislunar space, the Moon, Mars, and other frontiers. Research can further examine how participation, evidence, accountability, safety, human agency, and conflicting interests are incorporated while preserving the capacity to learn and adapt as conditions change.

The relationship between asynchronous civilizational development and Type I capabilities [25] also opens an important research direction. As humanity develops increasingly powerful technological and energy capabilities, Civilization Readiness provides a framework for examining whether human, institutional, educational, social, and governance capabilities are advancing alongside them.

A central research question remains: **How does innovation change when innovators participate not only in creating solutions, but also in creating parts of the civilization within which those solutions must function?**

13 Conclusion: The Digital Bird Must Fly

Humanity is entering a transformation shaped by AI, robotics, autonomous systems, commercial space, entrepreneurship, and expanding activity across the Earth–Moon system. The Space 18th SDG therefore has an opportunity to address not only sustainable activity in space, but the conditions under which humanity begins becoming a spacefaring civilization. The argument is not that the human needs addressed by Agenda 2030 will become obsolete. Poverty reduction, health, education, peace, environmental protection, and human flourishing remain fundamental. What may become obsolete is the assumption that a framework conceived in 2015, with a fixed 2030 horizon, can provide the architecture for a civilization undergoing Intelligence Inversion while simultaneously expanding beyond Earth. **SDG18 should inherit the lessons of sustainable development without inheriting its temporal and conceptual boundaries.**

This paper proposes a **Humanity-First and adaptive architecture** in which the Living Map enables continuous learning, Civilization Intelligence connects emerging knowledge, Civilization Readiness considers whether technological capability is accompanied by the capacities required to use it responsibly, and Pioneering Innovation connects exploration and experimentation with learning and governance. **Frontiers of Innovation** extend this approach to environments where the systems supporting innovation cannot be assumed. A spacefaring civilization will not be built only by astronauts, governments, agencies, or major corporations. It will require pioneers across disciplines, sectors, cultures, and generations—some beyond Earth and many contributing from Earth.

The metaphor of the **Digital Bird** captures the central argument. Sustainable development can protect the nest. Governance can provide safeguards. Science can help understand the environment. Technology can strengthen the wings. Education can teach navigation. Futures Thinking can identify changing winds. Pioneering Innovation can enable exploration beyond familiar territory. But the purpose cannot be to build increasingly sophisticated wings while permanently preventing the bird from flying.

A Humanity-First Space 18th SDG should therefore pursue **responsibility without paralysis, governance without unnecessary control, innovation without disregard for consequences, technological capability without surrendering human agency, and sustainability without closing the frontier**. The map must remain alive. Pioneers must remain free to explore responsibly. Policy must remain capable of learning. Future generations must retain the ability to choose.

The Digital Bird must fly.

ACRONYMS AND KEY TERMS

Acronym	Description
AGI	Artificial General Intelligence
AI	Artificial Intelligence
ASI	Artificial Superintelligence
COPUOS	United Nations Committee on the Peaceful Uses of Outer Space
HRI	Human–Robot Interaction
LEO	Low Earth Orbit
NSS	National Space Society
OECD	Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development
SDG	Sustainable Development Goal
SDG18	Proposed 18th Sustainable Development Goal
SME	Small and Medium-sized Enterprise
SRI	Space Renaissance International
UN	United Nations

REFERENCES

- [1] United Nations General Assembly, *Transforming Our World: The 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development*, A/RES/70/1, United Nations, 2015.
- [2] OECD, *Framework for Anticipatory Governance of Emerging Technologies*, OECD Science, Technology and Industry Policy Papers, No. 165, OECD Publishing, Paris, 2024. doi: 10.1787/0248ead5-en.
- [3] D. Ahern, "The New Anticipatory Governance Culture for Innovation: Regulatory Foresight, Regulatory Experimentation and Regulatory Learning," *European Business Organization Law Review*, vol. 26, pp. 241–283, 2025. doi: 10.1007/s40804-025-00348-7.
- [4] UNESCO, *Recommendation on the Ethics of Artificial Intelligence*, United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization, Paris, 2021.
- [5] S. Holter, C. Moruzzi, and M. El-Assady, "Toward Agency in Human-AI Collaboration," *IEEE Computer Graphics and Applications*, vol. 46, no. 1, pp. 13–25, 2026.
- [6] M. Gerlich, "AI Tools in Society: Impacts on Cognitive Offloading and the Future of Critical Thinking," *Societies*, vol. 15, no. 1, Art. no. 6, 2025. doi: 10.3390/soc15010006.
- [7] National Aeronautics and Space Administration, *Moon to Mars Architecture: Strategy and Objectives*, NASA, Washington, DC.
- [8] C.-A. S nit, F. Biermann, and A. Kalfagianni, "The Representativeness of Global Deliberation: A Critical Assessment of Civil Society Consultations for Sustainable Development," *Global Policy*, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 62–72, 2017. doi: 10.1111/1758-5899.12371. [9] L. Xue, L. Weng, and H. Yu, "Addressing Policy Challenges in Implementing Sustainable Development Goals Through an Adaptive Governance Approach: A View from Transitional China," *Sustainable Development*, vol. 26, no. 2, pp. 150–158, 2018. doi: 10.1002/sd.1726.
- [10] S. G. Masri and M. El-Fadel, "Governance of Digital Transformation for Sustainable Development: Aligning Digital Innovation with the Sustainable Development Goals," *Frontiers in Sustainable Cities*, vol. 8, Art. no. 1743552, 2026. doi: 10.3389/frsc.2026.1743552.
- [11] A. Losch, A. Galli, and T. Schildknecht, "History, Concepts and Challenges of Proposing an 18th UN Sustainable Development Goal Related to Space," *Space Policy*, vol. 68, Art. no. 101631, 2024. doi: 10.1016/j.spacepol.2024.101631.
- [12] A. Losch, "Developing Our Planetary Plan with an 18th United Nations Sustainable Development Goal: Space Environment," *HTS Teologiese Studies/Theological Studies*, vol. 76, no. 1, 2020. doi: 10.4102/hts.v76i1.5951.
- [13] A. V. Autino et al., *A SRI Position Paper on the Key Critical Issues Before 2030: A Necessary Mid-Term Actualization, 2.5 Years After the 3rd SRI World Congress*, Space Renaissance International, 2024. <https://spacerenaissance.space/wp-content/uploads/2024/02/SRI-position-paper-on-the-key-critical-factors-towards-2030.pdf>
- [14] B. Foing, "Space SDG18 & Space Renaissance 4 All Gallery," technical presentation, 64th Session of the Legal Subcommittee, United Nations Committee on the Peaceful Uses of Outer Space (COPUOS), Vienna, Austria, May 5, 2025.
- [15] Space Renaissance International, "The Space18SDG Proposer Organizations," updated July 4, 2026. <https://spacerenaissance.space/the-space18sdg-proposer-organizations/>
- [16] United Nations, *The Sustainable Development Goals Report 2026*, United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs, Statistics Division, New York, 2026.
- [17] United Nations Office for Outer Space Affairs, *The "Space2030" Agenda: Space as a Driver of Sustainable Development*, ST/SPACE/88, United Nations, Vienna, 2024. UNOOSA — The Space2030 Agenda: https://www.unoosa.org/oosa/en/oosadoc/data/documents/2024/stspace/stspace88_0.html
- [18] OECD, *OECD Science, Technology and Innovation Outlook 2025: Driving Change in a Shifting Landscape*, OECD Publishing, Paris, 2025.
- [19] World Economic Forum, *Technology Convergence: The New Logic for Competitive Advantage*, World Economic Forum, Geneva, 2026.
- [20] International AI Safety Report, *International AI Safety Report 2026*, 2026.

- [21] P. H. Diamandis and S. Kotler, *The Future Is Faster Than You Think: How Converging Technologies Are Transforming Business, Industries, and Our Lives*, Simon & Schuster, 2020. [22] J. McGonigal, *Imaginable: How to See the Future Coming and Feel Ready for Anything—Even Things That Seem Impossible Today*, Spiegel & Grau, 2022.
- [23] OECD, *Strategic Foresight*, Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development, Paris. Available: <https://www.oecd.org/en/about/programmes/strategic-foresight.html>
- [24] OECD, *Proactive Portfolio Management in Mission-Oriented Innovation Policy*, OECD Science, Technology and Industry Policy Papers, No. 173, OECD Publishing, Paris, 2025. doi: 10.1787/1ee956a5-en. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1787/1ee956a5-en>
- [25] N. S. Kardashev, "Transmission of Information by Extraterrestrial Civilizations," *Soviet Astronomy*, vol. 8, no. 2, pp. 217–221, 1964.
- [26] M. Gorbis, "5 Principles for Thinking Like a Futurist," *EDUCAUSE Review*, Winter 2019.
- [27] The 18th Sustainable Development Goal <https://spacerenaissance.space/the-18th-sustainable-development-goal/>